

COUNTY OF SANTA FE STATE OF NEW MEXICO ROAD ADVISORY MINUTES
PAGES: 9

I Hereby Certify That This Instrument Was Filed for Record On The 20TH Day Of February, 2014 at 09:13:14 AM And Was Duly Recorded as Instrument # 1730388 Of The Records Of Santa Fe County

Jeputy Hitness My Hand And Seal Of Office
Geraldine Salazar
County Clerk, Santa Fe, NM

MINUTES OF THE

SANTA FE COUNTY

ROAD ADVISORY COMMITTEE

May 8, 2013

This meeting of the Santa Fe County Road Advisory Committee was called to order on the above-cited date in the Santa Fe County Public Works Building, 424 NM 599, Santa Fe, New Mexico at approximately 5:30 p.m. by Chair Chris Mayrant.

Roll call indicated the presence of a quorum with the following Board members present:

Members Present:

Chris Mayrant, Chair Edward Medina Robert Hirasuna Rita Loy-Simmons Charlie Cde Baca Carolyn Moore Levi Valdez Julie Bain Tamara Haas

Staff Present:

Bernard Paiz

Robert Martinez, Transportation Manager Diego Gomez, Road Maintenance Manager Johnny Baca, Traffic Manager

Others Present:

Rusty Rodke

III. Approval of Agenda

Following introductions, Mr. Paiz moved to approve the agenda. His motion was second by Mr. Cde Baca and the agenda was unanimously approved.

Member(s) Absent:

Charles Harrison Ann Noble Kent Roberts, excused Richard Montoya, excused

IV. Approval of Minutes: March 13, 2013

Mr. Paiz advised the recorder that a number of items regarding Rancho Viejo were not listed under Section G, Report from members on Road Conditions in their Respective Areas. Those items are attached as Exhibit 1.

With those corrections Ms. Haas moved to approve the minutes. Ms. Bain seconded and the motion passed by unanimous voice vote.

V. Matters from the Commission

Mr. Martinez said to his knowledge there were no issues.

VI. Matters from the Public

None were presented.

VII. Old Business

A. Discussion of Draft Traffic Calming Policy

Mr. Martinez said staff did not receive any comments following Johnny Baca's report at the March meeting. In regards to speed bumps, emergency vehicle usage would be considered before installation.

VIII. New Business

A. Status on County Road Construction Projects

Mr. Baca said striping is occurring on CR 36/El Gancho Way; Jemez Road, Camino los Lopez, CR 62, CR 63, the entire stretch of Agua Fria, Cañada de los Alamos, Old Santa Fe Trail, San Ysidro crossing, CR 70, West Alameda, Tano Road, Paseo la Tierra, South Meadows, the Siler extension, CR 84, and a section of CR 98. The goal is to stripe all County roads annually.

Mr. Paiz remarked that the striping in Rancho Viejo looks really good.

. VII. Old Business (con't)

B. Discussion of Fee Structure for Road Acceptance Policy

Mr. Martinez said the road acceptance policy is near completion. The only lacking part at this point is the fee structures. He asked the committee members to review the policy and forward any thoughts to staff.

Committee members Valdez, Cde Baca and Montoya conducted the first road evaluation per the new policy.

According to the road adoption resolution the fee is to include a traffic study, phase one environmental review and staff time to evaluate the road.

THE STREET, SEVER SEVER

Ms. Bain said the fee should reflect the actual resources expended rather than a flat fee. Mr. Martinez said the County prefers a flat fee.

Mr. Martinez offered to compile the current land use permit application fees for comparison. Mention was made that the impact fee could be based on a percentage of the annual maintenance required for the type and length of road requesting adoption. Ms. Bain said it was important to consider how much the taxpayer will be spending in the future to maintain the road.

Mr. Gomez said maintenance on a 20-foot dirt roadway is approximately \$2,800/per mile per year. That includes monthly grading. A basecourse road runs about \$3,800 and a paved road with appropriate pavement preservation treatment is \$5,500 per year. A chip seal is close to \$7,000 per mile.

Mr. Cde Baca observed that a phase one environmental assessment can be very expensive. According to the Road Acceptance Policy, the County conducts the assessment. Mr. Martinez mentioned the assessment for CR 51 was very costly.

It was recommended that the County adopt an entire road and not piecemeal it. Also, recognizing the expense of the environmental assessment, it was recommended that it be eliminated from the policy. Mr. Martinez said the County encountered enormous problems with the courthouse and has established a conservative, cautious acquisition policy.

C. Discussion of Horcado Ranch Road evaluation

Committee members Valdez, Cde Baca and Montoya reviewed the road April 17th with Mr. Gomez. Mr. Valdez said they made notes and a report was submitted to staff. The inspection offered the following information:

- The road contains highly erodible soils creating a washboard surface
- The road will require a great deal of grading to keep it smooth
- Any binder would unravel on the road which has numerous drainage areas
- Many of the culverts are damaged, at the wrong skew and most are undersized at 18" rather than the required 24"
- Arroyo Calabasas has an old, damaged low-water crossing; to make the road safe it would require a very large drainage structure with a series of culverts/boxed culverts
- Construction of dikes may be necessary to direct the water into the drainage structure which would be a major expense
- Another large arroyo will require channel work
- The road contains a steep grade at 10 to 12 percent and 8 percent is recommended in areas with snow
- Sight distance is also limited in some areas
- Cattle guards need to be cleaned and better delineated
- In some areas the road narrows to 14 feet which is barely sufficient for one-lane.

The evaluation team said that adoption of this road would create a huge burden for the County and they recommend against acceptance.

If the property owner brought the road up to County standards – 20-foot driving surface, 6 inches of basecourse, culverts reset at proper elevation and properly aligned, etc. – would the evaluation committee submit a favorable recommendation? asked Mr. Martinez. Mr. Valdez confirmed that would be preferable.

The road does not serve many people and in fact, only two cars were seen during the entire review.

Mr. Gomez said the applicant mentioned one arroyo but there are actually two and those portions of the road will require a great deal of maintenance during the rainy season.

Mr. Martinez said the Commission has adopted this road for limited maintenance – grading two times a year based on the applicant claiming that BLM has already given the County the authority to accept it for maintenance purposes. That, however, was not accurate. The issue is the road has three individual easements for different property owners on the road. He said he was unsure whether the current easements can be vacated and the County granted a new easement.

Mr. Martinez agreed with the evaluation team's assessment and recommendation regarding the road.

The next meeting agenda will include adoption of the team's report and then the report will be forwarded to the BCC.

Mr. Martinez said the policy includes three types of acceptance: full maintenance, limited maintenance (grading two times a year) and shared maintenance. Horcado Ranch Road was accepted for limited maintenance. He suggested the Committee review the policy and propose amendments to the BCC.

VIII. New Business

A. Status on County Road Construction Projects (con't)

[Exhibit 2: Road report 5/9/13]

By district, Mr. Gomez reviewed the projects, estimated start dates, status of the projects, anticipated completion dates and material costs for the projects. He acknowledged these projects were a lot of work for the County. Mr. Martinez noted that additional money was obtained from the general fund for pavement preservation treatments. Mr. Gomez said for every \$1 spent on pavement preservation the life of the road is extended and \$10 is saved out into the future. The projects listed in *Exhibit 2* would use County labor.

Mr. Martinez said there is a low-water crossing on 113 S that the County wants to improve to an all-weather crossing and engineer proposals have been received. A fee is under negotiation for an all-weather crossing at Los Pinos. Engineering fees for a crossing at Herrada Road in Eldorado are also under negotiations. Phase two of the shoulder widening of CR98 from 503 to the cattle guard by the fire station will go out to bid. A few chip seal projects will occur this summer using bond funds.

Mr. Martinez said the County issued a bid for "on call" road construction services and there were 10 responses. There will be multiple awards for clearing, basecourse, asphalt, chip seal, etc. for what he referred to as in-place projects. He anticipated the County would complete between \$4 million and \$7 million in road projects this summer.

Santa Fe County

Road Advisory Committee: May 8, 2013

Mr. Martinez offered to have staff investigate the status of 113S.

On the 2013 TCSP Project List, it was Dinkle not Barton Road that was getting fog sealed.

Ms. Loy-Simmons reported there was nothing new in regards to the annexation and infill lawsuit in Edgewood.

B. Report from members on Road Conditions in their Respective Areas

Mr. Cde Baca said he received a call rom a resident on East Frontage Road across the race track near Custom Craft about a road that needs work. Mr. Martinez said that road has left over millings from I-25 and at this point the millings have greatly deteriorated. Mr. Gomez said the road needs to be graded and/or reprocess the material.

Ms. Bain said asphalt was being laid and signs saying "loose gravel" on Rancho Alegre. She asked whether a finishing surface would be used. Mr. Martinez said the first mile will be re-chip sealed. Mr. Gomez said at this point the crew is repairing potholes and there could be loose material from the pothole repair process. The culvert work on Rancho Alegre will occur before the chip sealing.

Mr. Gomez said the County builds two types of road: hot mix asphalt which is basically sand, gravel and oil mixed in a plant; the other is chip seal where an application of oil is followed with an application of chips and that process is repeated with a sealant.

Mr. Martinez noted that in the future the County crews will focus on preservation and not do total road reconstruction.

XI. Adjournment

Having completed the items on the agenda, and with no further business to come before the Committee, Chair Mayrant declared the meeting adjourned at 7:05 p.m.

Approved by:

Chris Maynard, Chair

Road Advisory Committee

GERALDINE SALAZA

COUNTY CLERK

Respectfully submitted:

Karen Fartell, Wordswork

Santa Fe County

Road Advisory Committee: May 8, 2013

New Reply I Delete Archive Sweep

Ω Search email

RE: Meeting Minutes From 3.13.2013

Folders

Inbox 3

Junk 8

Drafts

Sent

Deleted 2

Community Park

Geneology

Infrastructure Task For...

LS Com 3

RVSCA Board

RV Apartment File

SFCRAC

Verizon

New folder

Quick views

Bernard Paiz (bernardpaiz@msn.com) 9:33 AM

To: Nicole Medina, Ann Noble, Caroline Moore, Charles Harrison, Charlie Cc: Candace M. Ainslie, Deborah Leyba-Dominquez, Gabriella Trujillo, Je

in Section G of the minutes, the areas in Rancho Viejo that need attention a

Rancho Viejo Blvd.- NM 14 to Arroyo Bridge

Rancho Viejo Blvd.- South of Arroyo Bridge

Richards Ave @ Saddleback Mesa

Richards Ave between Dalton Pass and Flowering Wells

Richards Ave. @ East Chili Line NE Corner

Richards Ave. between Chili Line ans Avenida del Sur Northbound (Shoulde

Mr. Diego Gomez has Google maps of the areas discussed at the last meeti

Also Chris Mayrant"s name again mis-spelled in the signature area.

Bernard T. Paiz

From: nmedina@co.santa-fe.nm.us

To: jalf@cybermea.com; bernardpaiz@msn.com; cdnintxcvm@gmail.com;

c@cowandpic.org; cdebaca1@msn.com; chris.mayrant@state.nm.us;

dgomez@co.santa-fe.nm.us; edmedina@co.santa-fe.nm.us; fsjulie@gmail.

BKRoberts@aol.com; lvaldez@nambepueblo.org; richardmontoyamesa@g

ritaloy@q.com; rhirasuna@yahoo.com; robmtz@co.santa-fe.nm.us;

haastamara@aol.com

CC: cainslie@co.santa-fe.nm.us; dleyba@co.santa-fe.nm.us; gtrujillo@co.sa

fe.nm.us; jjaramillo@co.santa-fe.nm.us; kpadilla@co.santa-fe.nm.us;

kbustos@co.santa-fe.nm.us

Date: Tue, 7 May 2013 08:35:29 -0600 Subject: Meeting Minutes From 3.13.2013

Good Morning,

Attached are the minutes from the Road Advisory Meeting that occurred on

Santa Fe County Roads Const**ration**Constraint Fe County Roads Status Report 5/9/13

Project Description	Estimated Start Date	Status on Projects	Completion Date	Project Cost Materials Only
		Road Department Section		
District 1				
La Puebla Crack Seal	May 20, 2013	Scheduled	May 30, 2013	\$70,000.00
Camino Arroyo Seco Drainage Improvements and Chip Seal	April 1, 2013	Waiting for culverts	June 1, 2013	\$17,700.00
District 2				i
Entrada Fogseal	4/31/13	Completed	4/31/13	\$2,000.00
Camino Peralta Fogseal	4/31/13	Completed	4/31/13	\$2,000.00
Paseo C De Baca Crack Seal	Paseo C De Baca Crack Seal May 13, 2013 Scheduled		May 17, 2013	\$50,000.00
Clean Out Low Water Crossing on Los Pinos	os Pinos March 15, 2013 Completed		May 1, 2013	\$20,000.00
District 3			-	
Tomeo Rd and Conchas Loop Drainage Improvements	April 15, 2013	Waiting on Culverts	June 15, 1931	\$2,000.00
Bridge Replacement for CR 63	April 15, 2013	Need to get temporary work permits from adjacent property owners	May 15, 2013	\$25,000.00
Camp Stoney CR 67 Basecourse and Chip Seal		Completed		\$210,000.00
# Signitch Al				
Drainage Improvements for Torneo Rd	April 15, 2013	Work is in Progress waiting on culverts	May 16, 2013	\$10,000.00
District 5				
Living Water Road Drainage Improvements	September 17, 2012	Under construction, large culverts across CR 9E have been placed, working on replacing and relocating driveway culverts and cleaning out the bar ditches	May 1, 2013	\$10,000.00
Dinkle Road Fog Seal	June 13, 2013	Gistoplated Scheduled	June 13, 2013	\$16,000.00
Support Crew				
La Tierra Chip Seal Projects		Trimming trees		\$200,000.00
Clean Out Drainage Easement Between Calle Francisca and Calle Enrique	May 13, 1931	Waiting on Temporary Work Permits	June 3, 2013	\$10,000.00





SEC CLERK RECORDED SOLVELVENT

2013 TCSP Project List

Dunkle

Santa Fe County Road Name	Sa nta Fe County Raoad Number	Maintenance District	Commision District	Length of Road (Two lanes per road, not lane miles)	Preventive Maintenance Treatment
-Barton-Road	8	5		4.13	Fog Seal
Camino los Abuelos	42	3		9.33	Fog Seal
Unnamed County Road	84	1		4.52	Fog Seal
Camino Los Montoya's	85	2		0.75	Fog Seal
N Shining Sun	109	1		1.10	Fog Seal
Avenida Torreon	No Associated County Paragraph Number	4		1.10	Fog Seal
Encantado Loop	No Associated County Figure 1	4		1.74	Fog Seal
				22.67	

Santa Fe County Road Name	Sa∎nta Fe County R≼oad Number		Length of Road (Two lanes per road, not lane miles)	Preventive Maintenance Treatment
Bonanza Creek	45	2	6.72	Chip Seal
Camino Vista Grande	48	2	1.00	Chip Seal
Paseo C De Baca	50	2	0.89	Chip Seal
Camino Bajo	48A	2	0.79	Chip Seal
Calle Hermosa	48F	2	0.18	Chip Seal
Entrada La Cienega	50F	2	1.00	Chip Seal
Evergreen Lane	CR 101D	1	1.09	Chip Seal
Camino Montoya	No Associated County R≼oad Number	2	0.56	Chip Seal
Calle Lisa	No Associated County R≼oad Number	2	0.24	Chip Seal
Calle Debra	No Associated County Reoad Number	2	1.00	Chip Seal

2014 TCSP Project List

Santa Fe County Road Name	Sarata Fe County Road Number	Maintenance District	Length of Road (Two lanes Preventive per road, not Maintenant lane miles) Treatment	nce
Dinkle Road	8	5	3.00 Fog Sea	al
Dirikie Noad	<u> </u>	-	3.30 1 og oce	41
Los Pinos Rd	54	2	4.46 Fog Sea	al
✓ Agua Fria	66	2	3.68 Fog Sea	
La Puebla Rd	88	1	2.66 Fog Sea	al

Santa Fe County Road Name	Sareta Fe County Road Number		Length of Road (Two lanes per road, not lane miles)	Preventive Maintenance Treatment
Southfork	44	2	1.44	Chip Seal
Shandohoah Trail	44	2	1.34	Chip Seal
North Fork	44E	2	0.80	Chip Seal
Bishops Lodge Rd	73A	2	3.07	Chip Seal

Total

6.65 56.59

Revised SP 2013 LGRF Project List

	i	T			Preventiv		
	ļ	i			e		
Į.					Maintena		
1	County	Maintena			nce		
i .	Road	nce	Length		Treatmen	Treatment Cost	
Road Name	Number	District	(miles)	Width (ft)	[t]	(Sq Yd)	Total Cost
Dinkle Road	8	5	4.13	24	Chip Seal	1.94	\$112,811.78

Total Cost SP

\$112,811.78

SB 2013 LGRF Project List

Road Name	County Road Number	Maintena nce District	Length (miles)	Width (ft)	Preventiv e Maintena nce Treatmen t	Treatment Cost (Sq Yd)	Total Cost
Canda Ancha	94	1	0.49	18	Fog Seal	0.32	\$1,655.81
Canda Ancha	94	1	0.41	14	Fog Seal	0.32	\$1,077.59
Camerada Rd		4	0.31	22	Fog Seal	0.32	\$1,280.34
			1.21				\$4,013.74
-	County Road	Maintena nce	Length		Preventiv e Maintena nce Treatmen	Treatment Cost	
Road Name	Number	District	(miles)	Width (ft)	10110	(Sq Yd)	Total Cost
	74	2	1.06	20	Chip Seal	1.94	\$24,128.43
Thankhohay P'oe	113	11	2.26	21	Chip Seat	1.94	\$54,015.81
Avenida Azul		4	1.17	24	Chip Seal	1.94	\$31,958.78
Callente Rd		4	0.57	22	Chip Seal	1.94	\$14,272.19
			5.06		, ,		\$124,375.21

Will Need Additional Oil and Chips for extra .30 Miles

Total Cost SB

\$128,388.95

Road Name	County Road Number	Maintena nce District	Length (miles)	Width (ft)	Preventive e Maintena nce Treatmen t	Treatment Cost (Sq Yd)	Total Çost	
Ellis Ranch Rd	46	3	0.4	20	Chip Seal	1.94	\$9,105.07	
Rancho Alegre Rd	45	2	1.13	21	Chip Seal	1.94	\$27,007.90	
Nine Mile Road	60	3	2.01	22	Chip Seal	1.94	\$50,328.26	\neg
Apache Plume Dr	60A	3	0.62	17	Chip Seal	1,94	\$11, 99 5.93	\ {
Double Arrow	67J	3	0.9	22	Chip Seal	1.94	\$22,535.04	\neg
Goldmine Rd	55	2	1.36	22	Chip Seal	1.94	\$34,052.95	
			6.42				\$155,025.14	

Will Need Additional Oil and Chips for extra .21 Miles

SEC CLERK RECORDED SC/CS/CS/L

	LGRF	TCSP	Total
Total Chip Seal	11.48	20.12	31.60
Total Fog Seal	1.21	36.47	37.68
			60.28