

Daniel "Danny" Mayfield
Commissioner, District 1

Virginia Vigil
Commissioner, District 2

Robert A. Anaya
Commissioner, District 3



Kathy Holian
Commissioner, District 4

Liz Stefanics
Commissioner, District 5

Katherine Miller
County Manager

**CASE NO. CDRC MP/PDP/DP 10-5400
MASTER PLAN ZONING, PRELIMINARY AND FINAL DEVELOPMENT
PLAN
MINE SHAFT PROPERTIES, LLC, APPLICANT
SCOTT HOEFT, AGENT**

ORDER

THIS MATTER came before the Board of County Commissioners (hereinafter referred to as "the BCC") for hearing on January 11, 2011, on the Application of the Mine Shaft Properties, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "the Applicant") for Master Plan Zoning for an existing non-conforming use and Preliminary and Final Development Plan approval of Phase I for an expansion of a non-conforming structure and outdoor entertainment on 4.84 acres. The BCC, having reviewed the Application and supplemental materials, staff reports and conducted a public hearing, finds that the Application is well-taken and should be granted, and makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The Applicant requests Master Plan Zoning for an existing non-conforming use, Preliminary and Final Development Plan approval of Phase I for an expansion of a non-conforming structure and outdoor entertainment.
2. The property is located at 2840 Hwy. 14, within the Traditional Community of Madrid, within Section 36, Township 14 North, Range 7 East, in Commission District 3.

3. Currently there are thirteen structures on the 4.84 acre site. Twelve of the structures were constructed prior to 1981, therefore the Land Development Code (“Code”) acknowledges the structures and the current use of the structures as non-conforming.
4. Article II, Section 4.5, Non-Conformities, of the Code states: “Existing uses of land and structures including signs constructed prior to the adoption of the Code, as amended, but which may not be in conformance with the Code, as amended, or are prohibited or restricted under the current provisions of the Code, including the provisions of any amendments thereto, are considered to be non-conforming uses.”
5. Article II, Section 4.5.2 (a), Re-use or Expansion of Non-conforming Use, of the Code states: “Except as otherwise provided in this Section, any non-conforming use of land or structure may be continued so long as it remains otherwise lawful.”
6. Article II, Section 4.5.3(a), Submittals and Reviews, of the Code states: “Re-use or expansion of non-conforming uses are subject to the submittals and review requirements set forth in the Code for the category of use which is proposed.”
7. Ordinance No. 2002-1, Madrid Community Planning District, Section 4.7, Commercial Uses, states, in part: “Mixed-use businesses and commercial uses within the planning area shall be permitted only on property that is directly adjacent to New Mexico State Highway 14 and within the Planning Area.”

8. Section 4.11, Legal Non-conforming Uses, of Ordinance No. 2002-1 states: “Non-conforming, legal commercial establishments (Grandfathered uses) and mixed-use establishments in operation at the date of adoption of this ordinance shall be allowed to continue operations with both existing and or new owners so long as the establishment maintains a similar intensity of use.”
9. Article III, Section 4.2.1, (a)(4)(b) Types and Locations of Commercial or Industrial Districts, of the Code states: “Neighborhood or small scale center districts, which are or may be located at intersections of local roads or in traditional community areas. Uses similar to those which may be established in local or village center districts may be established. A non-residential use district may be established within a traditional community at a qualifying intersection or at an area which is pursuant to the criteria set forth in Subsection 4.2.2.”
10. Subsection 4.2.2, Traditional Community Districts, of the Code states: “Traditional Community districts established by the Code are intended to accommodate a mixture of uses such as agriculture, residential, large scale residential, community service, institutional, non-residential or recreational uses anywhere inclusive of the boundaries of the village, provided the performance standards and criteria set forth by the Code are met.”
11. The structures that are the subject of this Application were constructed prior to the adoption of the Code.

12. The purpose of the Master Plan is to record the existing structures and existing use as they have historically been utilized and are currently utilized.
13. The Master Plan documents the significant attributes of the property while allowing the expansion or re-use of the property through the development plan process.
14. The Preliminary and Final Development Plan for Phase I include the deck attached to the Old West Saloon, the use of the deck for outdoor entertainment, the outdoor amphitheater grounds and outdoor entertainment within the amphitheater. The area defined as the amphitheater is approximately 5,000 square feet and the deck is approximately 550 square feet.
15. The structures and the current use of the structures are non-conforming pursuant to the Code.
16. The submittal complies with the commercial criteria set forth in the Ordinance No. 2002-1, Madrid Community Planning District.
17. The proposed Master Plan is comprehensive in establishing the scope of the project.
18. The Preliminary Development Plan conforms to the proposed Master Plan.
19. The proposed Final Development Plan complies with Code requirements.
20. In support of the Application, the Agent for the Applicant stated that the Applicant is in agreement with all but one of the Staff conditions. The Agent stated the Applicant is not in agreement with the following parts of

condition number 5: the off-site signage; the dismantling of temporary stages, tents and canopies within twenty four hours of the conclusion of an event; off-site signage not being allowed to advertise events; and outdoor music events ending no later than 7:00 PM.

21. Melinda Bonell, Steve Shepherd and Rebecca Nafey spoke in support of the Application.

22. Gavin Strathdee, Lisa Interlandi, Marcia Reifman and Gerald M. Wawrek spoke against the Application.

23. Staff recommends the following conditions of approval:

- a. All Staff redlines shall be addressed, original redlines will be returned with final plans for Master Plan.
- b. Prior to the recordation of the Master Plan the Applicant shall comply with New Mexico Environmental Department requirements.
- c. Master Plan with appropriate signatures shall be recorded with the County Clerk.
- d. Phase I Final Development Plan with appropriate signatures shall be recorded with the County Clerk.
- e. Amphitheater Outdoor events: noise mitigation shall be in place at all times; a traffic control plan shall be in place; the Applicant shall inform the County Fire Marshal and County Sheriff's Department two (2) weeks prior to any outdoor activities taking place; permanent off-site signage shall not be allowed to advertise events; an off-site parking plan shall be submitted to the County Fire

Marshal and County Sheriff's Department; outdoor music events shall end no later than 7:00 PM. These conditions shall be placed on the Final Development Plan and recorded.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application is approved, and the Applicant is allowed Master Plan Zoning, Phase I Preliminary and Final Development Plan subject to the Applicant complying with Staff's recommended conditions set forth herein.

I certify that the Application was approved by the Board of County Commissioners on this _____ day of _____, 2011.

The Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County

By: _____
BCC Chairperson

ATTEST:

Valerie Espinoza, County Clerk

Approved as to form:



Stephen C. Ross, County Attorney

- XV. A. 4. **CDRC Case # MP/PDP/DP 10-5400 Mine Shaft Tavern. Mine Shaft Properties, LLC, Applicant, Santa Fe Planning Group (Scott Hoeft), Agent, Request Master Plan Zoning for an Existing Non-Conforming Use and Preliminary and Final Development Plan Approval of Phase I for an Expansion of a Non-conforming Structure and Outdoor Entertainment. The Property is Located at 2840 Hwy. 14, within the Traditional Community of Madrid, within Section 36, Township 14 North, Range 7 East (Commission District 3). Jose E. Larrañaga, Case Manager**

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Thank you, Madam Chair. On November 18, 2010 the County Development Review Committee met and acted on this case. The decision of the CDRC was to recommend approval of master plan zoning for an existing non-conforming use, preliminary and final development plan approval of Phase 1 for the Mine Shaft properties.

Currently there are thirteen structures on the 4.84-acre site. Twelve of the structures were constructed prior to 1981, therefore, the code acknowledges the structures and the current use of the structures as non-conforming.

Article II, Section 4.5 states: "Existing uses of land and structures including signs constructed prior to the adoption of the code, as amended, but which may not be in conformance with the code, as amended, or are prohibited or restricted under the current provisions of the code, including the provisions of any amendments thereto, are considered to be non-conforming uses."

The Applicant's intent is to maintain the historical use of the property. The Applicant requests Master Plan Commercial Zoning as a Neighborhood or Small Scale Commercial District. The purpose of the Master Plan is to record the existing structures and existing use as they are currently and historically been utilized. The Mine Shaft Property has been in continuous operation as a non-conforming commercial property for over 100 years. The Master Plan will document the significant attributes of the property while allowing the expansion or re-use of the property through the development plan process.

The Mine Shaft Property has attracted tourists and locals for food, beverage, and entertainment for the past 50 years. The tavern has been in continuous use since 1947, the Engine House Theater has been active since 1985, and the Old Coal Mine Museum has been open to the public for tours since 1960.

Article II, Section 4.5.2 states: "Except as otherwise provided in this Section, any non-conforming use of land or structure may be continued so long as it remains otherwise lawful."

Article II, Section 4.5.3 states: "Re-use or expansion of non-conforming uses are subject to the submittals and review requirements set forth in the Code for the category of use

NOT CLERK RECORDED 02/18/2011

which is proposed.”

Ordinance No. 2002-1 Section 4.7 states: “Mixed use businesses and commercial uses within the planning area shall be permitted only on property that is directly adjacent to New Mexico State Highway 14 and within the Planning Area.”

Section 4.11 of Ordinance No. 2002-1 states: “Non-conforming, legal commercial establishments and mixed- use establishments in operation at the date of adoption of this ordinance shall be allowed to continue operations with both existing and or new owners so long as the establishment maintains a similar intensity of use.”

Article III, Section 4.2.1 states: “Neighborhood or small-scale center districts, which are or may be located at intersections of local roads or in traditional community areas. Uses similar to those which may be established in local or village center districts may be established. A non-residential use district may be established within a traditional community at a qualifying intersection or at an area which is pursuant to the criteria set forth in Sub-section 4.2.2.”

Sub-section 4.2.2 states: “Traditional Community districts established by the Code are intended to accommodate a mixture of uses such as agriculture, residential, large scale residential, community service, institutional, non-residential or recreational uses anywhere inclusive of the boundaries of the village, provided the performance standards and criteria set forth by the Code are met.”

Article V, Section 5.2.1.b states: “A master plan is comprehensive in establishing the scope of a project, yet is less detailed than a development plan. It provides a means for the County Development Review Committee and the Board to review projects and the subdivider to obtain concept approval for proposed development without the necessity of expending large sums of money for the submittals required for a preliminary and final plat approval.”

The Applicant is also requesting Preliminary and Final Development Plan approval for Phase I. The Development of Phase I includes the deck attached to the Old West Saloon, the use of the deck for outdoor entertainment, outdoor amphitheater grounds and outdoor entertainment within the amphitheater. The area defined as the amphitheater is approximately 5,000 square feet and the deck is approximately 550 square feet.

The deck was expanded upon and the amphitheater was created by terracing an area with railroad ties. These improvements constitute an expansion of the non-conforming property. The improvements enabled the Applicant to use these areas for outdoor entertainment and extend the area where liquor could be served, therefore expanding on the non-conforming use of the site. The alteration of these specific areas on the site constitutes a Master Plan for the non-conforming property and Development Plan for the intensification of use and modification of the deck and amphitheater area.

Article V, Section 7.1 states: “A preliminary development plan may be only a phase or portion of the area covered by an approved master plan, so long as the preliminary development plan substantially conforms to the approved master plan.”

Article V, Section 7.2. states: “The final development plan shall be submitted to the

County Development Review Committee accompanied by a staff report. The County Development Review Committee shall review the plan and make a determination as to its compliance with the County General Plan and Code. The County Development Review Committee may recommend changes or additions to the plan as conditions of its approval. The final development plan as approved by the County Development Review Committee shall be filed with the County Clerk. The approved final development plan becomes the basis of development permits and for acceptance of public dedications. Any changes in the plan must be approved by the County Development Review Committee.”

The Applicant has addressed the following criteria in the development report: existing conditions, adjacent properties, parking, access, outdoor lighting, signage, water, fire protection, liquid waste, topography, landscaping and noise mitigation.

Recommendation: Staff has reviewed this application and has found the following facts to support this submittal: the existing structures were constructed prior to the adoption of the code; the code acknowledges the structures and the current use of the structures as non-conforming; the submittal complies with the commercial criteria set forth in the Madrid Ordinance; the purpose of the Master Plan is to record the existing structures and existing use as they have currently and historically been utilized; a Small-Scale Commercial District is allowed within a Traditional Community; the proposed Master Plan is comprehensive in establishing the scope of the project; the Preliminary Development Plan conforms to the proposed Master Plan; the proposed Final Development Plan complies with code requirements.

The Building and Development Services Department and Reviewing Agencies have reviewed this application and have made comments in accordance to the code. The non-conformities of the structures and use on the site were not taken into consideration when assessing code compliance. Staff's interpretation of Article II, Section 4.5, Article II, Section 4.5.2 and Article II, Section 4.5.3 has established findings that this Application is in compliance with Ordinance No. 2002-1, Section 4., Ordinance No. 2002-1, Section 4.11 Article V, Section 5.2 Article V, Section 7.1 and Article V, Section 7.2 of the Land Development Code.

Staff recommends approval of Master Plan Zoning, as a Small-Scale Commercial District to allow the existing structures and existing use, on the property, as they are currently and historically been utilized. Staff also recommends approval of Phase I Preliminary and Final Development Plan to allow for the intensification of use and modification of the deck and amphitheater, subject to the following conditions. Madam Chair, may I enter these conditions into the record?

1. All Staff redlines shall be addressed, original redlines will be returned with final plans for Master Plan and Final Development Plan.
2. Prior to recordation of the Master plan the Applicant shall comply with NMED requirements.
3. Master Plan with appropriate signatures shall be recorded with the County Clerk.
4. Final Development Plan with appropriate signatures shall be recorded with the

SFC CLERK RECORDED 02/18/2011

County Clerk.

Madam Chair, also, we passed out a fifth condition and I have reviewed it with the agent for the applicant. I'd like to read that.

5. Amphitheater outdoor events noise mitigation shall be in place at all times. A traffic control plan shall be in place. The applicant shall inform the County Fire Marshal and County Sheriff's Department two weeks prior to any outdoor activities taking place. An offsite parking plan shall be submitted to the County Fire Marshal and County Sheriff's Department. Offsite signage advertising on events shall not be permitted. Outdoor music events shall end no later than 7:00 pm. All temporary stages, tents, canopies, chairs, etc. used for outdoor events shall be removed from the site within 24 hours of the conclusion of the outdoor event. The above-mentioned conditions shall be placed on the final development plan. [*Exhibit 8*]

Madam Chair, I stand for any questions.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay, are there any questions for Mr. Larrañaga?

Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Madam Chair. Jose, there were a number of reviews in our packet by County departments and staff that noted that a number of items were incomplete in the master plan submittal. For example, a rainwater harvesting plan. Also a traffic impact analysis. So my question is, have these been addressed or will they be addressed in a future phase of the plan.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Holian, the master plan is master planning the existing non-conforming use. We have other projects that we've brought forward to the Board, non-conforming uses where we wouldn't make them retro all these buildings to do rainwater harvesting. The parking – in reality, a lot of this property wouldn't comply with the code right now.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: What about a traffic impact analysis though? That still seems to be relevant.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: For the expansion of the development plan we felt that the traffic, the TIA would not be necessary for the development that's being processed, asked for tonight, for the expansion of the deck and the amphitheater. The amphitheater, the outdoor entertainment, we have been processing that or allowing that through the special use permit so the traffic and the parking has been established to be able to comply with those outdoor events.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. Madam Chair, Jose, another question. How is the County Noise Ordinance enforced?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Holian, we have met with the Sheriff's Department. The Noise Ordinance is to be enforced by our Sheriff's Department. Unfortunately, they don't have the meters to enforce that ordinance but just this week I spoke to Ron Madrid from the Sheriff's Department and he informed me that even though they don't have the meters to enforce the ordinance there is state law they would enforce in

case of too much noise. I forget what the word would be but it would be – nuisance, disturbance of the peace and so on. They would enforce that. Until they get the meters to enforce the Noise Ordinance they cannot enforce it.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. Jose, I noted that the Public Works review stated that there was an 11-foot access, driveway off of Museum Lane, and they said that it would require a variance, or in their opinion it would require a variance. So if we approve this are we in essence approving that variance?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Holian, no. They did not notice, they are not asking for a variance, as I mentioned, and that's why purposely I left these reviews to show how non-conforming this property really is. Any expansion that would be necessary or that the applicant would do that would be necessary to improve that access, that's when we'll look at it a different phase and how to improve it. If they couldn't improve it then they would come forward to the Board for a variance.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. Thank you, Jose. I'm done.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm looking at the map of the different buildings, and does this go behind the boardwalk? Or up to the boardwalk? Or where? For those people that didn't know there's a little boardwalk, just like Hollywood.

CHAIR VIGIL: Atlanta.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Atlanta. Sorry. Got my cities wrong. I'm just trying to figure this out. Because there are quite a few houses right across State Highway 14, and I'm trying to figure out if this schematic, if behind the boardwalk on the hill, or if it's right adjacent to the highway.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, the boardwalk is right in here, so it fronts the boardwalk. Behind the boardwalk.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: It's behind the boardwalk. Okay. So the new deck and amphitheater, is that behind the boardwalk or in an open space facing the highway?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, the amphitheater is – well, it's kind of platted out –

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: I see the little curved road.

MR. LARRAÑAGA: And that would be where they tier it off with railroad ties. So it is behind the boardwalk, and then you have the hill coming down from behind it on this side.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. I think I understand. Thank you very much, Madam Chair.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, thank you for being here. A couple quick questions. Thanks for the non-conforming 101 yesterday. But I guess I would just want to say for public consumption I guess is that non-conforming, if I understood you correctly, when you say non-conforming that's non-conforming with current

code as it exists today. Is that correct?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that's correct. Non-conforming would be it was established pre-1981 for a certain type of use or uses.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, there's a lot of older properties within and around Santa Fe County that are non-conforming. Is that correct?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that is correct.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, a lot of those non-conforming uses are very historical uses and significant historically and culturally say, as collectively as a whole?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner, that is correct. In fact we brought forward to the Board part of the Santuario last month or the month before. It was non-conforming and they were going to develop a house and turn it into a praying chapel, so yes, we deal with non-conforming use all the time.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, if a facility or structure is in non-use for a period up to one year it loses that non-conforming status?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that's correct. If the use is not – if a structure or a use or a business license is not issued to that non-conforming use or structure then it reverts back to residential. They would have to come in and master plan the property again for the existing – the old use or a new use.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you. Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, I know you were addressing fire protection in the presentation that you brought forth. There are some pictures that have been provided to us in our packet and one of my concerns if they are using their deliveries to block access to fire protection services for the community, how is that being addressed and being mitigated from happening?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, the one photo that you have in there with the delivery truck blocking access to the fire was when just recently, in fact right before we presented this to the County Development Review Committee, the septic – she had problems with the septic and she had to open it up to repair it. So where the delivery trucks would usually park they could not park so he had to park there, the truck had to park there to deliver and it was just for a short time. But on a day-to-day basis they wouldn't be parking there. In fact that photo, you'll see where it's roped off where the septic system is. That's where they would usually park to deliver anything to the property.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. That raised another question for me. I'm looking at some of the Energy and Minerals reports. Is there any problem in the newly developed use of it being on any land with any minerals that are harmful or high in content?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I don't know if I can answer that question. I don't know. The newly developed are is what's been in use all the time. All they did was remodel the deck and expand it. And the amphitheater, it was in use all the time. People would walk around it but they tiered it off. So it's been in use throughout the use of the property. Just now it's changed the use which intensified, the entire use of the property.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you. Any other questions at this – Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, is it my understanding that the County, through discussions with the applicant, requested that the master plan be put into place as a non-conforming use to begin the basis of recognizing what exists there currently?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that is correct. With that expansion and in the code any expansion or intensification of use of a non-conforming use or structure requires rezoning or a master plan. So in conversations with the applicant the expansion of the deck allowed her to use a little larger area. The expansion of the liquor license allowed her to use it, and then the amphitheater allowed her to use it for special use permits. Special use permits or special events, I should say, those were issued – allowed through the special use permits. Again, special use permits are for a special event and these were happening quite frequent and so we recommended that the applicant come in for a master plan to master plan the existing non-conformities of the property and preliminary and final development plan for the expansion and the deck and the amphitheater. And any other expansion or remodel for a different use of any one of these buildings would have to come back for preliminary and final development plan, as part of the master plan.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Larrañaga, tonight, what we're talking about is the deck and the amphitheater within an existing master plan that's already been acknowledged as a non-conforming use by the County?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, we are looking at the master plan for the non-conformity of the properties and the buildings, and we're looking at the preliminary and final development plan of the expansion of the deck and the amphitheater.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR VIGIL: Any further questions of staff? Seeing none, this is a public hearing. Mr. Hoeft, on behalf of your client, as the agent, would you step forward and be sworn in, state your name and address for the record.

[Duly sworn, Scott Hoeft testified as follows:]

SCOTT HOEFT : Scott Hoeft, Santa Fe Planning Group, 109 St. Francis.

CHAIR VIGIL: Scott, before you get started, is your client in agreement with all of the conditions of approval?

MR. HOEFT: Not quite. We have a modification of –

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. Have you had an opportunity to go over condition #5 with him.

MR. HOEFT: That's the one that we have -- I want to raise --

CHAIR VIGIL: So you're in agreement with 1 through 4.

MR. HOEFT: Yes, we are.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. Proceed.

MR. HOEFT: 87504. So this case has about a year and a half of history and I'm not going to take you back to the beginning. We were brought aboard in the summer of last year when it was determined that Lori needed a professional firm to help her complete a master plan and a development plan. And it could just go on to that point that we've been talking about, Commissioner Anaya. Lori has a legal non-conforming use and she expanded it, essentially, which bumps her into a master plan situation and which is why we were brought aboard to help her complete that.

And our first step was to have a neighborhood meeting with the individuals in Madrid at the suggestion of the CDRC, which we did and we had about 50 individuals attend that meeting. And what we did was we took the comments from there and began to design the master plan and we had about two months to get that completed. And the three comments that came from that were noise, of course -- that's been the major concern with the facility. The second was emergency access, and the third was parking.

And so armed with those three considerations we took that into the design of our master plan and submitted it to County, and then we proceeded to work with staff on the issues such as the letters that you mentioned, Commissioner Holian, and began to flesh this out towards the hearing process with the CDRC and to begin to modify the plan. We also met consistently with the attorney for the opposition which was Joseph Karnes and I'm certain if he's still on the case, just so that we'd have an air of transparency. He came to our meetings, he came to our neighborhood meetings. I met with him individually on numerous occasions. When we hired a noise consultant to begin to tackle these noise mitigation measures we had him there as well, again, to begin to flesh this out in a sense of cooperation with this project.

And so that kind of issue, just a quick nutshell of where we stand over the last six months, eight months on this project. So just jumping ahead, and again, I don't want to belabor the history that Jose has laid out which he did accurately and I'm not going to challenge anything that he said. I thought it was a very good representation of what occurred on this case over the last year and a half. What I want to do is take you to the noise mitigation, which is kind of where we left off with the CDRC. And what we did was we worked with a noise consultant and we developed about six points that are on the master plan itself which we hope would begin to lead towards cooperation on the noise issue for this property. That's probably the biggest issue that we're dealing with here.

And of course, let me just go through those quickly, because I think it's important. The first is of course the applicant will be complying with the Noise Ordinance. The second is that Lori Lindsey, the owner of the Mine Shaft, is going to be developing a self-monitoring program where she'll develop baseline noise levels, report those into Santa Fe County on a

regular basis, and she'll purchase her own noise meter, which as you mentioned, you heard from Jose that there is a concern that there's not enough noise meters in the county. So Lori will do that on a regular basis to self-monitor her noise.

The next, she'll serve as the point of contact. We've heard in the past that when there was noise at the Mine Shaft that when they'd call in there wasn't anyone who would consistently take the phone calls so there was a concern that the complaints were getting lost. So Lori will serve as that contact.

And then the next comment, we modified a little bit at the CDRC to make it a little more stringent, which is in the past we said we'll make every attempt to keep the windows and the doors of the tavern closed when music is played, and then we outlined some hours there as well. And we firmed that up to where she will keep the windows and the doors closed at the tavern when music is played. And unless there's mechanical errors, AC goes out in the summer time, the windows need to be opened.

The last is a little more of a regulation regarding the outdoor deck, which is in the front of the Mine Shaft Tavern. And this is directly the result of working with the noise consultant, Mike Henderson, and developing some sort of sound baffling techniques, having backing on the speakers, having the speakers positioned in the right direction, back toward the tavern as opposed to positioned down the street. And again, that's to keep the noise concentrated towards the tavern rather than out towards the community.

And then last is some guidelines regarding the amphitheater use. Again, having a specific sound stage that's used so that again, that is harnessing the noise and baffling it and projecting it back up towards the mountain as opposed to it being distributed throughout the community.

So those in sum are the six mitigation measures we've developed with the attorney for the opposition back six months ago. Then I will like to talk a little bit about this condition that was handed out this evening, but I'll get to that in a second.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Excuse me.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, would you go over those six items again?

MR. HOEFT: Certainly. And they are on your master plan on the top listed there. The first is we will comply – the applicant Lori will comply with the Santa Fe County Noise Ordinance. The next is she'll develop a self-monitoring program where she'll purchase her own noise meter and place regular reports of noise into Santa Fe County at a point of contact. The next is she'll serve as the point of contact so that when complaints are coming in, if any, that she will be the person that will take those complaints and deal with the problem. The next is that she will keep the windows and doors of the tavern closed when music is played unless there is a mechanical error, AC for example, if the air conditioner goes out in the middle of summer she'll have to open up the windows. The next is more regulation regarding the outdoor deck and having some sound baffling measures used, positioning the speakers in the proper manner, back towards the Mine Shaft as opposed to out towards the

street and having some backing put on the speakers again to muffle that noise for the outdoor live music on the deck. And then the last is related to the amphitheater and having a special stage utilized during those performances, again, with the effort to baffle that noise and project the music back toward the mountain as opposed to toward the town.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, do you want me to hold questions or go on?

CHAIR VIGIL: If you have a particular question –

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: It's about this. Some of the letters are expressing concerns about may or will try to do these things. Aren't the baffles purchased? Is everything in place?

MR. HOEFT: It is not in place yet, no.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. HOEFT: If I can address your comment a little bit more, Commissioner Stefanics, in the previous hearing, in the CDRC, we did firm up the language a little bit and in Jose's report, I think on the very last page it specifies how we remove the may and added a will and it firmed it up a little bit more.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. HOEFT: The last thing I'd like to talk about is liquid waste because I know there are some individuals here in the community that would like to speak and I want to save quite a bit of time for them. It came up at the last hearing, a liquid waste issue and Lori did indeed, unfortunately right before the hearing have a liquid waste problem. She immediately contacted NMED, the New Mexico State Environment Department, and showed them the problem and has subsequently met with them on numerous occasions. There is a letter in your packet dated December 23rd from Robert Italiano indicating what she needs to do over the next period of time to go ahead and fix her liquid waste disposal problem and I know that Jose has added that as a condition to the packet as well.

So lastly, I wanted to look at this condition a little bit that Jose handed out. And for the most part we feel that it is reasonable. There are a couple of concerns, however, that we have that I think once I explain a little bit more I think you may or may not agree. The first is offsite signage, which appears in the middle of it. And what that means is that if Lori has an event she can't advertise it anywhere other than on the Mine Shaft. So she can't put up a bulletin board in downtown Santa Fe. If she wanted to buy a billboard along I-25 advertising it she can't do that. And we think that's a little bit stringent, that she can't advertise for her events. So we're concerned about that language.

The next concern is the 7:00 pm stop time and though we understand the need to get the events over in the evening because it is a town, we were curious if the word dusk would better suits the needs. We know that as it gets toward dark the event will begin to wind down, so dusk – we were wondering if we could substitute that for 7:00 pm.

And the last is the concern regarding all temporary stages and tents and canopies. We're a little confused about that because almost all of the stuff, the items are owned by Lori, and some of the stuff does stay in place until the next event. It's very costly to remove and

take down a tent that she has. And so we were looking for a little more flexibility on that last part and maybe a little bit more clarification from staff as well on exactly what they were looking for there.

So with that I have two final points. Commissioner Stefanics, you mentioned concern about anything on the property in terms of environmental. Lori, when she purchased the property she did have an environmental phase 1 done and I believe that was about two to three years ago and it did come out clean. And then to your comment, Commissioner Holian, regarding the TIA, we did meet with the Department of Transportation and we did discuss the road. And what's interesting is the road isn't actually owned in that stretch by the DOT from what we understand. It's actually all easement. And so they can't really make us do any improvement to that road anyway. It's not their jurisdiction, theoretically from how we understand it. I believe that stretch is for the whole Town of Madrid. So we did meet with Ruben Garcia at the Department of Transportation and we kind of concluded that there wasn't too much to do there. Also please take into account that a TIA is about a \$10,000 expense for the applicant and that's extremely cost prohibitive and so that's something just to be cognizant of. And with that I stand for questions.

CHAIR VIGIL: Any questions?

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Hoeft, so in looking at condition 5, it's only talking about outdoor events. You raised concern about offsite signage. You raised concern about the 7:00 pm end time, and removing canopies. Those three items. Is that correct?

MR. HOEFT: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So you accept the balance of the items that are listed?

MR. HOEFT: Reluctantly, yes. It's not that dissimilar to what we had to do anyway for a special use permit and again, in the air of cooperation she's willing to do a little more with the County to make sure these concerns are addressed. Yes, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, and this goes to staff, we have a Noise Ordinance in place, Mr. Larrañaga or Mr. Kolkmeier or whoever.

MR. KOLKMEYER: Yes, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: The Noise Ordinance, I'm assuming has acceptable noise levels contained within it? Is that a correct assumption?

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, yes.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Kolkmeier, Mr. Larrañaga, we don't have any equipment to measure whether or not someone's above that or below that?

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya – I'm sorry I have a cold so I sound a little funny. The Growth Management Department does, and we did when the ordinance was under us. The Sheriff's Department does not, and the Sheriff's Department is now responsible for enforcing the new Noise Ordinance that was passed last year.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So, Madam Chair, staff, do we give them that equipment?

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, we met with them on two occasions and they did not want to use our equipment because they wanted a more sophisticated, calibrated type of noise meter.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you. Excuse me, what was the issue with the liquid waste, with the septic tanks?

MR. HOEFT: Commissioner Mayfield, they're still trying to sort that out. It could have just been age. The system was in place in the eighties and it could have been just wearing out. They did get into it a little bit and began to take it apart and they were just uncertain of exactly what it was. So a lot of it just needs to be replaced. But it is a 20, 30-year old system.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, is that an enclosed system? Is it leached out?

MR. HOEFT: It's a leach system, yes.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And I noticed in the report here that they're asking that it be pumped out. Are you going to make it a closed system or are you going to keep it as a leached system?

MR. HOEFT: May I converse with my client please? It will still be a leached system, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: And do you have insurance?

MR. HOEFT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Second question, and it's going to go back to the first question I asked Mr. Larrañaga a little earlier. What assurances will you provide to the County that you will not block access for our fire station for that area?

MR. HOEFT: Well, I know the instance that you're referring to was due to the fact that septic system was down and when you were asking that question earlier I was talking with Lori to find out what happened there. And it was due to the fact that the leach system was at that stage under repair and the truck had to park in a different venue. So we have been willing to consider some measures, however, to make sure that emergency parking is clearly earmarked on the property if that would be something that we would be willing to consider.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Hoeft, or staff, maybe I should say, of the items that Mr. Hoeft brought up – offsite parking plan, or not offsite parking plan – offsite signage and advertising, temporary stages, canopies, tables, chairs, being removed, and the time, were those ours or were those that we put in a result of input we got from the public?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, we brought

forward to the Commission another similar type case and we put these type of conditions in there. I'd like to just address the offsite signage, advertising. Offsite signage is not allowed by the County. Now if it's a state right-of-way or in the city of course we have no way of enforcing that they couldn't advertise in that manner. But in the County we could and it's not allowed by the code.

The event ending at 7:00 pm, we're just trying to be consistent with the permitted special use permits that we have permitted in the past. We had allowed the entertainment – usually the entertainment starts at 2:00 in the afternoon and we would allow them to go on till 7:00. So we're just trying to be consistent with the special use permits that we have allowed them in the past.

Now, the tents and canopies may be – I personally put this in and Jack and I just talked about it. Maybe Jack would like to address that – the tents, canopies.

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, of those three that you've brought up, we're looking into the offsite signs in the code a little bit further to make sure we have that correct. But also the 7:00 cut-off time was a time we had agreed on not only with the client but also with members of the community. That was the time that was recommended to us that would be acceptable for these types of events. It came up later again when the ballpark wanted to go to 10:00 to an event that they had and we, at that point, I made the decision that all outdoor entertainment activity had to stop at 7:00. So that came from not only staff but from quite a few conversations with members of the community. And the third one about the temporary stages, in further conversation we don't feel like that's necessary.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, I have more comments but I think this was a duly noticed meeting so whenever the rest of the Commission is ready I'm ready to hear from the public.

CHAIR VIGIL: Mr. Hoeft, you're done for now?

MR. HOEFT: Yes, Commissioner.

CHAIR VIGIL: This is a public hearing and the last case we have on our agenda. I assume most of the public out there is here to testify. How many of you would like to address the Commission? Can you tell me by a show of hands? Okay. Of those who are going to address the Commission, how many of you are in favor? And how many of you are opposed? Okay. Could I have those of you who are in favor go ahead and speak first and then we'll go to those who are opposed. It seems like those of you who are in favor who aren't here necessarily to speak, would you raise your hands again? Okay. Thank you very much for indicating that.

Gentlemen, would you please come forward? I will need for you to state your name and address and then get sworn in.

STEVE SHEPHERD: Steve Shepherd, 2766 Highway 14.

CHAIR VIGIL: Mr. Shepherd, how much time do you think you'll need?

[Duly sworn, Steve Shepherd testified as follows:]

MR. SHEPHERD: I have just a written – maybe two minutes.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. Thank you very much. Please proceed.

MR. SHEPHERD: Madam Chair and Commissioners, my name is Steve Shepherd, 2766 Highway 14. I've been a resident of Madrid for 12 years. I'm an active member of the Madrid Volunteer Fire Department for the last 11 years, serving in various capacities including fire chief, assistant chief and numerous other things. I'm also an EMT, both nationally recognized and an EMT within the County of Santa Fe. Currently, I'm also president of the Madrid Cultural Projects, which is a 501(c)(3) dedicated to historic preservation of our town, and I've served two terms in the Madrid Landowners Association.

Music, outdoor music has been an integral part of Madrid for over 30 years. Even to this day you can hear outdoor music any summertime weekend emanating from a gallery opening, a band rehearsal, a ballpark festival, and that will continue I know next year once our grandstand is back up and running. So what's the big deal about music at the Mine Shaft? I've heard from some of the old-timers that the Mine Shaft in the eighties was far noisier than it is today with music playing until 2:00 in the morning. I have heard that some of the few folks against this master plan were part of the chaos called the Mine Shaft in the eighties. So it's not about the music, even though the Sheriff's Office has been called in at the slightest peep of music at the Mine Shaft over the past two years, then what?

I believe it's about personalities. Lori is a strong-minded businesswoman. In the beginning of her ownership she bumped heads with a few like-minded long-term residents. This remains unresolved and probably will stay that way. You can't please all the people all the time and as Commissioners you know this better than most. I do have high regard for all of the people, both for and opposed. I've known them all. They have strong convictions and that's part of why I respect them. As an officer of the fire department I believe that Lori has been an excellent neighbor. She does her best to keep the firehouse lane clear. The picture you have was a one-time event. All of her vendors know not to park on Firehouse Lane. It's well marked with signage and on the weekends when we have a number of tourists and visitors in she monitors and cooperates when an overflow parking starts impinging on our ability to get our fire apparatus out and there's been a couple of times where we've called in the Sheriff's Office to just roust people who weren't paying attention. So I'm confident about that.

Her outdoor concerts have always provided adequate emergency services access as well as security personnel. In summary, the Mine Shaft Tavern is an integral part of the economic and social fabric of my town. Lori should be given every opportunity to succeed in this very difficult business venture. With the closing of the Santa Fe Brewery Restaurant the Mine Shaft Tavern is the only entertainment venue on South 14 from Santa Fe to Cedar Crest. Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you, Mr. Shepherd. Are there any questions? Okay. Thank you. Is there anyone else who raised their hand who'd like to address the Commission in favor please step forward, state your name and address, speak into the mike, and you will need to get sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Rebecca Nafey testified as follows:]

REBECCA NAFEY: My name is Rebecca Nafey. My address is P.O. Box 622, Cerrillos. Madam Chair, Commissioners, Madrid has been my town for 24 years now. I do not live in town; I live in Cerrillos but I do own a home in Madrid and I run a small professional business where I go to work seven days a week, so I'm in town every day. I've been on the Madrid Landowners Association Board of Advisors for about six or seven years now and I'm on the Madrid Water Co-op Board for approximately four to five years.

Madrid has become a town of mostly young people. They are artists, gallery owners, parents and musicians. There is an unusual amount of amazing talent in this small town. These young people have the right to enjoy their lives, and that includes playing music and partying together as we older folks did when we were their age. They are mostly clean, educated, friendly, outgoing and creative. This master plan for the Mine Shaft Tavern is the culmination of a couple of years complaints by a handful of older members of the community. And while they are right, in the first few years of new management – the first year, sorry, of new management, there was some loud music in town and maybe some windows rattled back then. But that was then and this is now.

The Mine Shaft Tavern owners have invested a lot of money, thought and time into mitigating the sound music problems. And they've succeeded. The amphitheater facing into the hillside, fencing, tents, and the soundstage that Lori now rents for events. This soundstage is huge. I don't know if you know what it is. It's like a 40-foot tractor-trailer truck and it's got an amazing ability to actually suck up the music and delete it inside the trailer while at the same time blocking any other sound from going off down the street. It's been very effective. This probably costs them about \$1000 a weekend and they're paying that. The tavern owners need to be credited for their efforts to work with the community. Sadly, this seems not to be the case with some people.

This master plan approval would make the Mine Shaft totally legal and allow the community to begin to heal from the divisiveness that has torn us apart for far too long. I would request that you approve this master plan as is and let us get on with our lives in peace and harmony and with wonderful live music to accompany us on the dance floor of life. Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you. Is there anyone else there who would like to speak in favor of this project? Seeing none, I have a real quick question for staff. We're approving master plan phase 1. That implies there's other phases. Is that correct?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, we're approving master plan for the entire property, preliminary and final development plan for the amphitheater and deck.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. So the phase 1 component of the proposal, what does that mean?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Phase 1 would be preliminary and final development plan for phase 1, which is the deck and the amphitheater.

CHAIR VIGIL: Second question, is there going to be a phase 2 or phase 3?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: When that comes in, Madam Chair, that would come – preliminary and final development plan would go to CDRC for approval and any changes in

SFC CLERK RECORDED 02/18/2011

the buildings, changes in parking, then that's when they would have to meet code requirements for those buildings and structures.

CHAIR VIGIL: Scott, did you want to address that?

MR. HOEFT: There's no intent right now to come in with any additional phases, Commissioner. This has kind of tapped Lori out, just getting the master plan for the entire project and then preliminary and final for phase 1 which essentially makes her legal, makes the deck and the amphitheater legal, and then she'll be done for a while.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you. Okay, those members of the audience who would like to address the Commission, would you line up over here to my left in this aisle and that way you can proceed to the podium in an orderly manner. When you do get there, please state your name and address and then get sworn in. And do let me know if you need more than two to four minutes. Do any of you need more than two to four minutes? And I can allocate time appropriately? Okay. Seeing no with nods I'll ask the first gentleman to come forth.

[Duly sworn, Gavin Strathdee testified as follows:]

GAVIN STRATHDEE: Madam Chair, Commissioners, my name is Gavin Strathdee. I have resided in Madrid for 37 years. I am a member of the Water Cooperative, currently serving as president. I've been a 20-year member of the fire department, now resigned or retired. I've served on the landowners association. Basically since 1973. I have been involved in community affairs. Before the CDRC, I submitted a letter which I believe you will have in your packet as part of the letters of concern. I would bring that to your notice. I'm sure you've had a chance to look at that already.

But I wish to refer specifically tonight to the minutes of the Santa Fe County Development Review Committee of November 18th, which you have just now in front of you. Page 13, paragraph 2 regards the Noise Ordinance or the noise mitigation, and I quote briefly, "Mr. Hoeft said a noise consultant was hired and a meeting at Joseph Karnes' office was held to discuss noise mitigation. Noise has been a major point of contention with the area residents and Mr. Hoeft said six points were developed." Then the six points are then listed: compliance with the ordinance, self-monitoring with regular readings, Mine Shaft contact person regarding noise, owner will make every attempt to keep doors and windows closed when music is played, the outdoor deck will have appropriate sound buffering with speakers positioned to the back of the building rather than the roadway, limit hours of operation and develop schedule for deck use and then it finishes with the program proposed for the amphitheater will be defined; each amphitheater event will require a special permit from the County Land Use. [Exhibit 9]

With respect, we request the following with regard those points. As far as point 1, compliance with the County ordinance, we take that as a given. Point 2, self-monitoring with regular readings, we request a stipulation as to the frequency of the sound monitoring, and that the monitoring be conducted by a person or persons duly qualified and trained to do so using an approved instrument as per the requirements of the County Noise Ordinance.

Point 3, the Mine Shaft contact person regarding noise, we requested the Mine Shaft contact person be required to be responsive to and address noise complaints and maintain a

SFC CLERK RECORDED 02/10/2011

written log of such complaints. Point 4, owner will make every attempt to keep doors and windows closed when music is played. Owner has agreed to a change in language to "owner will keep the windows and doors closed in the tavern when music is played unless there are mechanical errors." We request that the term "mechanical errors" be changed to "mechanical malfunctioning of the air conditioning system" as per the CDRC member DeAnda's sentiments at the hearing before the CDRC. "Mechanical errors" is a rather broad term and could mean that the kitchen meat cutter goes down.

Point 5, the outdoor deck will have appropriate buffering with the speakers positioned to the back of the building rather than the roadway. We request a change to the outdoor deck will have appropriate sound buffering with the amplifier positioned facing the back of the building rather than the roadway. The quote from Mr. Larrañaga's memorandum to you stipulated the owner will position the amplifier in the correct location and we have no idea what that correct location is presumed to be.

Point 6, limit the hours of operation and develop a schedule for deck use. We appreciate the limitation put on the deck use and the outdoor entertainment to 7:00. We would request the owners stipulate the hours of operation and the proposed schedule for deck use and communicate the same to the community at large.

A couple of minor points I would like to address again from previous testimony, I would like it to be quite clear that the use of the Old West Saloon, which was originally a photography studio, basically, a place to get your photographs taken, and the construction of the deck and the construction of the amphitheater did not precede the adoption of the 1981 County Land Use Code. I understand that although they did not receive permits at the time for the constructions or the changing and type of use that this adoption of the master plan approval will legitimize those. I would ask that you consider a request specifically of the Noise Ordinance and there will be speakers coming after me who will bring that up.

CHAIR VIGIL: I think we want to hear the remainder of the testimony. Please step forward and state your name and address for the record, be sworn in.

[Duly sworn, Lisa Interlandi testified as follows:]

LISA INTERLANDI : My name is Lisa Interlandi, 2859 Highway 14, Madrid. Good evening. I appreciate this opportunity. I have lived in Madrid 30 years and I'm sole proprietor of the longest running business there, except for the Madrid Water Co-op. Madrid's commercial success relies on the mall effect. Visitors park and walk, thereby visiting the variety we offer and everyone benefits. Visitors also appreciate the unique, rural, quiet residential character of our community. I support every business in town; we need one another.

My concern regarding this master plan is it offers no guarantee that the Santa Fe County Noise Ordinance will be adhered to. Three years ago the Mine Shaft began a series of outdoor events that exceeded prescribed decibel levels. These events negatively impacted my café, continued late into the night, making it impossible to sleep. My son would not stay in his hometown during his break from university because of the noise. Attempts to communicate with Mine Shaft management were futile and were met with public insult and

vitriolic defamation, inflaming tensions and jeopardizing my and my neighbors' safety. I spoke at a BCC meeting and several times with Land Use.

Initially I was told there was no monitoring equipment. Then the story morphed into, oh, yes, we did have equipment and took readings but there was no problem. When I suggested monitoring on my property while my windows were physically vibrating with the bass sonar waves, the story morphed again. We do not work on weekends. Maybe you need new windows. The bombardment continued when the tavern built an unpermitted outdoor bar and deck and expanded the hours of outdoor amplified noise to every weekend. Now there was noise from the bar with speakers facing out, noise from the deck and saloon outside, special events at the new amphitheater. Why do I have to listen to Happy Birthday sung over and over again sung by one musician, while at the same time someone else in another venue does a Jimmi Hendrix Star Spangled Banner rendition as the cadavers on the porch manage to spew profanity.

On Saturday, September 18th this past year my neighbor who lived less than 30 yards away from the bar decided enough already. She requested I call the Sheriff. That's' the first time. I did, only to be told by the deputy that the Mine Shaft could be as loud as they wanted. Period. My friend passed away with cancer over Thanksgiving after years of begging for the right not to be tortured in her home and business by noise and harassment. I was grateful that Land Use required the Mine Shaft to submit a master plan. However, number one, if there is no way to enforce the Noise Ordinance, and number two, the language of the submittal offers no guarantees of voluntarily complying, and if, number three, Land Use exacerbates the problem by saying this is a local issue and we need to resolve it ourselves, what's to be done?

I appeal to this Board to ensure that County officials and employees, the Sheriff's Office, and the Mine Shaft owners recognize and obey the law. It is absurd to continue avoiding responsibility by maintaining that I'm too old. That I do not like music, and thereby want the tavern to fail. Nonsense. I do not want to be driven out of my home. This is not a personal issue. And I do not want my customers blasted away. I want everyone to succeed. Please do not approve the master plan without demanding compliance.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you, Lisa. Next.

[Duly sworn, Marcia Reifman testified as follows:]

MARCIA REIFMAN: I'm Marcia Reifman. My address is 2836 Highway 14. Thank you for this opportunity. I have something I want that I have that I would like to read but before that I want to address some things that were said previously. The first one is – well, for one thing, I've been a member of the Madrid community since 1976 and I have been involved in community activities, the Madrid Landowners Association, the Water Co-op. I still am, and many things since I became part of the community. And incidentally, I was very instrumental in starting the master plan for Madrid itself when I was a member of the Madrid Landowners Association, so I'm very familiar with the whole process. And then when it got brought up again and was finally organized and drawn up I was actually asked to come to the meeting to be the – not mediator but to hold the meeting to get everybody to start doing the master plan again.

So I'm really familiar -- I am also an instructor, teacher. I'm chair of a department at the community college. So I'm really familiar with working with people and I try very hard to make sure things will really work out as best as can, and has always been that way in Madrid until three years ago. If I had a problem or complaint I could go directly to the person who I would like to have changed it and it was usually about noise or a dog or something like that, and we always worked it out and I still do work it out with everyone except the tavern.

I did go to Lori the first time I felt my backyard vibrating. I went there and asked her to please turn down the noise. So she was the point of contact and I did talk to her and it was pretty much ignored. So I'd like to address a couple of other things here. One is that you asked about the minerals and the mining, there isn't anything apparently in the ground but there is a train that has asbestos and I would just like to say that that train we know the owners of the property were trying to move that train. Somebody from Madrid did call in to the Environmental Department to see if -- to let them know, because we know there was asbestos in this old locomotive that's there. And it was summarily ignored. I called back after I found that out. He was supposed to get back with me to tell me what the report was; never got a call back. So there is some concern there.

The interesting thing about the master plan is we would not be here if Lori had actually -- if we had been able to work something out. Because people have been going on for two years about the noise coming from the tavern and the disturbance of traffic and that kind of thing. And then it actually affected me and I went, I'm going to get interested in this too. I'm that kind of person. And because I was met with such being ignored I went, there has to be a way we can actually make an impact here, and I'm the one who wrote the first complaint about the deck. The deck looked good. The things she'd done didn't look bad but it was the only way I knew how to get anybody's attention. We wouldn't even be here. She wouldn't even be here looking for a master plan. The County didn't ask them to make a master plan. It was after a complaint was put in that showed that they had built without a permit. So I just want to say that.

I'm glad it's happening. I want it all to work out. I think it's possible. Especially after hearing the recommendations that were added. But what I want to say -- and I have never, ever wanted to shut down any business or keep people from doing what they want. Madrid has always been a place where we do what we want. And you know what? I'm leaving. I've been there for a long time and I'm moving in a few months. But it still matters to me a lot. I'm not moving because of that. I had been planning it anyway. It's just a good time. But has this influenced me a little? Yes, probably.

So what I want to know is that the ordinance that you passed that is so good will actually be enforced, just the way people have said before me. I purchased a noise meter. One that was in accordance with the Noise Ordinance and I was trained by a noise consultant who verified that I knew how to use it. I used that one and I also used one of his. It's not so hard to buy a noise ordinance [sic] and learn how to use it and use it. I think that's just inexcusable that the Sheriff's Department has not purchased one and found out how to use it and will do what they have been told to do by such a great ordinance that you passed.

So my finding, which I recorded on a night that the music was coming from within the tavern showed that every time the door opened the noise decibel level went up above the accepted amount. When it closed, it went down, which is what we were all used to. When neighbors complained and called the Sheriff, and this is what Lisa said, to come out, basically they said they weren't going to do anything because they didn't own a noise meter and it wasn't their problem. So how can we be assured that our County employees will actually do their job? So we're not here to say don't do it. It's like we're here to say how can we not – we just don't trust it. We don't trust the person who owns the bar and we don't trust the people who are supposed to be enforcing. And that's from past experience, not from paranoia or anything like that.

So my first question is what is prohibiting them from getting a noise meter? Because there's no way they could possibly enforce the ordinance. We also want to make sure that the barrier is used on the deck of the Old West Saloon, and the other things will sufficiently baffle the noise. Interestingly enough, those items that were read off, they were read off and they were agreed to to put into the master plan during the summer. Those speakers were not turned around. There was no attempt that I could see at baffling. So there was no good faith happening there in terms of actually complying with the master plan that they were creating.

The same noise consultant prepared a much larger study for the master plan submitted by the Santa Fe Brewing Company. The Santa Fe Brewing Company doesn't even have neighbors the way we do and yet they were – they had to submit a much bigger noise mitigation plan. It seemed to me that that should have been true for the Mine Shaft Tavern. I don't trust the effectiveness for the Mine Shaft Tavern's self-monitoring because of the flagrant way they have ignored neighbors concerns. During the summer they would put speakers on the porch of the tavern and blast music every now and then for about an hour for no apparent reason.

After developing the master plan they made no attempt to baffle the sound from the Old West Saloon as specified in the master plan they proposed, nor did they point the speakers away from the street. And those are only two examples of what makes me think self-monitoring will not work. So far I haven't seen any effort on their part that they will really comply with their own master plan. They're able to make excuses about mechanical problems and I agree with Gavin, what he said. I think it's an excellent idea. And the thing is you have a business, there are responsibilities to a business. I'm sorry too. We all spend money on whatever it is we do, and we have responsibility to do it correctly. I'd like to know that our County Sheriff and our Land Use Department will actually enforce the plan and other ordinances. I have little confidence they will, based on past experience. We hired a lawyer after I had written that complaint because that was the only way, after two years, that we could be heard. That was the only reason. And it worked. That shouldn't have happened that way.

After hiring this lawyer we made some progress, and that's why, as Scott said, we started sort of negotiating. This was even though they had been operating in violation of many land use ordinances for three years. They built the area for outdoor entertainment, not

the deck, after they had started working on the master plan, without permitting. So it's a lot of disappointment that I'm talking about here, and a lot of lack of trust of what's going on. I spoke at a CDRC meeting, not the last one but the one before that, about the reason some of us – about the issues that we have and the reason some of us have not spoken up. And I stated that one of the reasons Madrid residents wouldn't speak out was because they were intimidated by Lori, who is the owner of the tavern, and afraid of saying anything. With two days of saying that up here I was walking down the street in Madrid, Lori pulled up in the truck next to me, stopped me in the middle of the street, and started yelling and cursing at me.

It scared me and I could really understand it wasn't the first time something like that had happened but something so direct. If it wasn't – and she was yelling at me about me saying she was intimidating and bullying people. So I think that her actions speak for themselves. So I would also totally support what has been said before. I urge you to use stronger language to the master plan concerning noise mitigation, language that is unequivocal and only has one interpretation because that has been the biggest problem. Everyone interprets things so differently and it has to be much, much more clear.

I actually believe you should table this item, look it over carefully and come back to it before it's approved, to make sure that the language is strong enough, and to make sure that things can't be skirted around. I'm not against the master plan or music in the tavern. I truly am not. I just want to know that the plan will be followed and enforced and I think the only way to trust that this will happen is by tightening the language of the whole plan. I think they should be required to have a more complete noise mitigation plan. And even though the entire town is not always impacted by the sound from the Mine Shaft Tavern enough businesses and residents in their vicinity are repeatedly subjected to louder noise than there ever was before the present owners took possession of it. And this continues every week in the summer and on weekend nights. It negatively affects the basic mixed-use plan for the Village of Madrid.

Remember, the main street of Madrid is a half a mile from cattle guard past to cattle guards. And we live very close to one another. We're also in a valley, and it's a bowl that traps and echoes the sound. Imagine you living in a neighborhood where one resident was allowed to repeated turn up the volume without consequences and just think about how you would feel. Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you, Ms. Reifman. Next. I would just ask that there's been a lot of testimony on the noise. Unless you have additional testimony beyond what we've heard please don't repeat what has been said. Please state your name and address for the record.

[Duly sworn, Gerald Wawrak testified as follows:]

GERALD M. WAWREK: Gerald M. Wawrek, 2841 Highway 14, Madrid, New Mexico. I have a copy of letters. Shall I give them to staff?

CHAIR VIGIL: Staff, Mr. Larrañaga, would you get those letters and distribute them please? [Exhibit 10]

MR. WAWREK: Thank you for the opportunity to speak this evening. I've

been a resident of Madrid, New Mexico since September of 1975 and as you can tell by the addresses of the people who have spoken against this plan approval before me we all live in a very close proximity around the tavern and are affected by it. The people who were the supporters of it, if they live in the community they don't live near the tavern and most of them don't even live in the community they live in the surrounding areas.

The Santa Fe County BCC is being asked to grant final approval for Mine Shaft Tavern master plan for commercial zoning and final development phase 1. Although some of the language of noise mitigation strategy has been tightened up, other problems have not been addressed. A noise mitigation strategy outdoor deck sound baffling solid barrier will be utilized behind speakers, i.e., meaning between the speakers and Highway 14. What currently exists is a soft-shelled tent canopy with sides. The sides will most certainly be removed in warm weather leaving no sound baffling. Unless the applicant is directed to install fixed, permanent baffling before approval is granted I assure you that no improvements will be made.

At the November 18, 2010 CDRC meeting I presented photos of an excavated area around the septic tank and leach field as a result of an overflow showing a downhill slope to a large storm drain which empties into the Madrid arroyo just north of the concrete box bridge over Highway 14. The arroyo is part of the Santa Fe County Open Space greenbelt. You'll find a picture of that in my packet, where the pipe exits into the arroyo. Has anyone thought to ask EID or Health Department to require bacteriologic testing to ensure there is no contamination at the pipe's exit into the arroyo? The septic tank for the tavern is 1800 gallons. The thousand-gallon tank that's also mentioned in their submittal is for the private residents behind the tavern and the leachfield has been saturated with years of usage and traffic pounding above. It's in the front parking lot where those semis and vehicles often park, so it's probably reduced its effectiveness over the years.

The master plan submittal states the tavern's water consumption averages 30,000 gallons per month, more in summer, less in winter. It should be obvious this septic tank should be pumped more often than quarterly as it is currently. At minimum, it should be pumped monthly and perhaps more in summer months or after events. As this is a request for approval for only phase 1, what can we expect for phases 2 and 3? Will Land Use repeat its practice of ignoring illegal construction only to approve it retroactively with no significant penalty? The Land Use Administrator consistently stonewalled or dismissed many complaints from neighbors concerning the size and noise levels of events he had permitted and the illegal expansion of unpermitted venues and events in 2008-2009.

It was only when a detailed letter with accompanying photos was sent to all BCC Commissioners, Santa Fe County Manager, and Representative Rhonda King, did Mr. Kolkmeier order Mine Shaft Tavern to cease unpermitted operations, August 19, 2009, until a master plan was submitted.

At the October 15, 2009 CDRC meeting the order requiring the master plan was upheld, but with the help of a convoluted legal ruling the events on the deck at the Old West Saloon were allowed to continue, somehow having been grandfathered in. On Saturday

October 17, 2009, a victory part was held at the Old West deck with Mr. Kolkmeier in attendance, as was reported in the December 9th issue of the *Madrid Mule*. [Exhibit 11] It was a newsletter; I'm not sure it functions anymore.

Perhaps a change of leadership is overdue at Land Use. Thank you. Any questions?

CHAIR VIGIL: Are there any questions? Seeing none, I think we still have some more testimony. Can I see a show of hands of anyone else who wants to testify? Okay. I don't believe you were here earlier. We did get those in favor. Are you in favor or opposed? Please come forward then. State your name and address. Are there any other members out there of the community that -- Just a second. Let me ask so I can just allot time here. Is there anyone else out there that would like to testify? Okay, seeing none, Linda, please proceed.

[Duly sworn, Melinda Bonell testified as follows:]

MELINDA BONELL: My name's Melinda Bonell, 2865 Highway 14. I wasn't planning on speaking but seeing after how long some of the nay-sayers chose to speak I decided I needed to, especially after one in particular, as I was involved in some of the incidents that she claimed Lori intimidated her. I actually sat outside trying to avoid it because it's so upsetting to me. I was there when she yelled at me. I'm an employee of the Mine Shaft properties. I help with their advertising and marketing. And at one point during an event she came up to us and said it was too loud. Lori and I actually walked over to her house, left the property, left the event, and tried to do what we could do.

We went back. We said, okay. It is the bowl effect. Let's go back and see what we can do. We went back and turned down the music. She was not happy. Some people you can just not please. That's the end of it.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay, Melinda. Thank you very much. Anyone else? Last chance. Seeing no show of hands for future testimony I'm going to close this public hearing and open it up for the Commissioners for any discussion or questions. I see Commissioner Stefanics, Commissioner Holian, and I'll call on Mayfield and Anaya in that order. Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'll make this short. Perhaps, Madam Chair, we could invite the Sheriff to a future meeting just to have some discussions about some different ordinances, not just the one that was discussed this evening but some others, because I think we have some questions. Jack and Shelley, how are the requirements different for the Santa Fe Brewing Company? Somebody brought that up this evening that they felt that the requirements for the outdoor activities at the Brewing Company had different requirements than what we were imposing here. Is there anything that comes to your mind that might be a little different? We dealt with that like a month, two months ago.

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, the layout there is a little bit different as the person who was testifying said because there are residents only across I-25, and then out to the east. So the issues that we had with the Brewing Company -- well, again, it's a similar situation. They built their stage without a permit as well. So we had a similar situation with them, but we made them enclose the back of the stage, for example,

so that sound didn't leak out of the back from that and then position their speakers in a certain way so that they didn't just shoot out across the back valley there. So they're kind of different situations but the process that we went through with them was similar.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Well, I would just want to make sure, Madam Chair, that we have some equity in requirements. So we might want to just check that briefly. The other thing, Madam Chair and Jack and Shelley, Ms. Reifman talked about the community master plan and this master plan sits within the mixed-use plan? Explain to me a little bit how this fits into the community master plan. Do you remember, Jack?

MR. KOLKMEYER: Well, the community master plan, there's a couple of interesting aspects to it, didn't specifically address music at all. That's a problem. That's why I made several visits to the community out there and suggested they need to update their community master plan to go over these kinds of issues. This is a statement somebody said I think slightly negatively towards me, but the idea was if there was to be some kind of control over when music played and where it is that that should be as much up to the community as it is to the Land Use Department. So there's no mention in the community plan. She kept calling it a master plan but it's technically a community plan – in there about issues with music. There are, however, in both the community plan and the ordinance, statements about mixed use needing to be a part of the community.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Okay. And I'll be interested to hear, Madam Chair, what other people have to say. I totally empathize with both sides. I lived in Madrid for ten years and we had the music. We had shootings. We had a lot of things happen and the people who spoke against were there much longer than I was, but they were there then and a lot of people who are supporting probably have come since I've left. But one of our colleagues in the past said things change here in Santa Fe and that community I saw change quite a bit. So I totally empathize with both sides. That's all.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you, Commissioner Stefanics. Commissioner Holian.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Madam Chair. I would like to ask Scott to address some of the issues that were brought up by Mr. Strathdee. He made some recommendations for changes in the master plan and I'm just wondering whether you would find at least some of them acceptable. For example, changing – the mechanical errors be changed to mechanical malfunctioning of the air conditioning system. Whether you'd be agreeable to that.

MR. HOEFT: Commissioner Holian, just going through his point, I got a copy of the letter from Jack and we do concur with all of Mr. Strathdee's comments with the exception of the hours of operation, the 7:00 pm and we would hope that we could go to the dusk time as referred to earlier in the other condition. Dusk seems to be an acceptable compromise to my client.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Then I have a question about this whole idea about monitoring the readings and I'm wondering – actually this is probably more a question for staff. Are there independent people who would do something like that or does it even make sense to do that. If it were hired by the owner, you'd think if she were spending her

money then it still wouldn't be independent. So my question is – well, what's your recommendation on that?

MS. COBAU: Madam Chair, Commissioner Holian, some bars are self-monitoring. At El Paseo for example they have a sound monitor that they keep behind the bar. If they get a complaint they go and measure the sound. The sound monitoring equipment is getting more sophisticated like everything else. I don't know if there could be some sort of remote device set up that would just measure it and send them an alarm if they exceeded the decibel level. But I would like to add, there was some testimony by one of the people in opposition to this case regarding staff not working on weekends and I would like to say I've personally been out to the Mine Shaft Tavern with a sound monitor in my hand and ambient sound was much louder than what was coming off that deck in the three times that I measured it during special events.

So I don't know if we don't have any code to impose any kind of self-monitoring; all we can do is go on complaints at this time.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you, Shelley. Well, it does seem like they are offering to self-monitor and they have – Scott, correct me if I'm wrong, but the owner actually has the equipment to do that, correct?

MR. HOEFT: Or will purchase the equipment, Commissioner. Yes.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Thank you. And would it be possible to sort of possibly keep a log of it or a record of it for a while so we have a feeling for what – would you agree to a condition like that?

MR. HOEFT: Yes, Commissioner. We would.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. Thank you. I guess that's all for now.

Thanks.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Mayfield.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, thank you, and Shelley answered one of my questions that I was going to ask. Second question, as far as a permit, are we asking that this be permitted per a venue event or is it going to be a long-standing permit with the tavern for outside entertainment?

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Mayfield, the approval of the master plan would give them permission to use the deck and the amphitheater on a regular basis. What we did before – there were two instances when I terminated outdoor entertainment in August of 2009 and then again in April of 2010, so that they could only do special events by special use permits. So this would no longer require them to do special use permits and they would be allowable uses on the deck and in the amphitheater.

I'd also like to if I may to comment on the dusk comment to. The agreement that I reached with a number of people in the community was 7:00 and I would stand by that. If you want to change that that would be up to you, but that was a condition that I'd worked out with a number of people in the community that pertained not only to the Mine Shaft but also to the ballpark. So if the ballpark comes back, when that's finished, that would be the same position I would take right now unless the Board would plan to change that.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Those are all my questions. Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Commissioner Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Hoeft, how long has the Mine Shaft Tavern been in existence?

MR. HOEFT: I need to ask the client, Commissioner. 1847 in its current location, 1895 in town.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, that's what I thought. It's been around a very long time. Question to staff. When any entity goes under a master plan, they're in a non-conforming use then they go under a master plan, and then they move in phases to preliminary and final development plat approval. When they're under a master plan and then they move through phases, that provides the County with the latitude to impose conditions and additional restrictions on the property beyond its existing non-conforming use. Is that accurate?

MR. LARRAÑAGA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, that is correct. As they come in for preliminary and final for each phase they would have to meet current code criteria for that phase.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Thank you, Madam Chair, staff. A couple more points that I would have then I'd be ready to make a motion. I think non-conforming uses is something that as a Commission we're going to see, and the Commission sees on a regular basis and I think that as it relates to historical use and time of use those are all case by case issues. But just in sitting here and living in and around – I grew up in Galisteo, the Village of Galisteo and I've been through Madrid many, many, many times over the years. The Mine Shaft Tavern, I understand the concerns that are raised relative to noise and I think it's our responsibility to look into those, but I also think we need to take those issues in the context of where they're at and how long they've been taking place.

I believe that as a Commission and one individual Commissioner sitting up here that we need to be cautious with how much we try to impose and regulate. I also agree with Commissioner Stefanics that I think we may need to have the Sheriff and maybe even other discussions with staff relative to existing ordinances because I'm concerned if we have ordinances that we put into place, or conditions for that matter, but yet we don't have the capability, potentially, to carry them out or to implement them. So I think that's an evaluation that we're going to take into consideration on an ongoing basis.

I guess I have one more question for you, Madam Chair, Mr. Kolkmeier, before I make a motion.

CHAIR VIGIL: I'd like to speak before a motion is taken.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Absolutely, Madam Chair. I thought I was the last one. I apologize. Mr. Kolkmeier, relative to your comment about 7:00 pm. I'm intrigued to hear a little bit more about the background. Because before I even realized that it was in the initial condition I actually wrote a note here and I didn't write dusk, I wrote sundown. And sundown could be earlier and is earlier than 7:00 pm certainly during the winter months and later fall months and it's later in the summer months when people typically are outside.

So even after Madam Chair makes her comments. Could you comment on that? It sounded like you were pretty strong that you thought 7:00 was the time. And I just, practically speaking, and this isn't just on this particular case. I would say that sundown in the summer and throughout the year makes sense. I guess I'd like to hear specifically why you feel 7:00 is the right time.

MR. KOLKMEYER: Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, certainly. After the first Crawdaddy Blues Festival – I forget what year that was – three years ago, that was done by special use permit and there were a number of complaints from members of the community who called me and said that the music went too long and could we do something about it. We were trying to be very responsive to both sides despite some of the comments made here tonight. So when the second Crawdaddy Blues Festival came up I put a stipulation on the special use permit to be 7:00. Well, at ten after seven there was a call made, not to me directly but to somebody else, from one of the opponents saying that they were still playing after 7:00 and you said 7:00. And it was ten minutes after seven. And when I brought that up I called Lori I think the very next day I said, well, what was going on? Why did they go past 7:00. She said well, the band was playing on encore. And so thinking about that, there I was stuck in another solomonic position because I said 7:00 and the band played until ten after and the opponents made a bit deal out of that.

So for every subsequent special use permit that came up I told Lori it would have to be 7:00 and she agreed. And then there was a subsequent issue of an event that was to take place at the ballpark. And the ballpark came and said to me, well, we want to play until 10:00. And I said well, if I'm going to make Lori close at 7:00, you're going to shut down at 7:00. So I think they played until 8:00 and I heard some complaints about that as well. So I think that's why I'm taking the position that 7:00 is what people asked us to enforce and that's the position that we take.

Mr. Hoeft just asked me, well, what are the hours of operation for outdoor events at the Brewing Company? Well, that's a different situation and none of the neighbors out there have asked me or said can you do something to mitigate the hours of operation there. So I haven't been confronted with that situation. But the way this has unfolded in Madrid that was the agreement. That was the agreement we made. Lori went along with that and the people who were the opponents to it went along with 7:00 as well. So I think that from my position as the Land Use Administrator I hold fast to that. That's the history of it.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Mr. Kolkmeier, I appreciate the historical background information and my comment wasn't in regards to what somebody didn't do in that case that they were asked to do it was more what seemed to make some logical sense in my mind, my individual mind. And that doesn't go for an establishment like Mine Shaft Tavern. I think I would consistently say that if somebody wanted to play a baseball game until the sun went down, I would feel the same way I suppose. So it wasn't compliance, that's a whole different category where I would say if somebody agreed to do something and it was a condition then absolutely. The applicant in this case should have done it and so should everyone else. But thank you for the backup information. Thank you, Madam

Chair.

CHAIR VIGIL: Thank you. I have a couple of comments based on personal experience. I actually grew up in an area of town and I'm going to name-drop because the resolutions have occurred. When there was a very popular local bar called El Farol that was catty-corner from where I grew up that went through a process with City Hall based on the same issue that is before us about the Mine Shaft Tavern. This actually is an opportunity for the community to come together for a compromise that's going to work. Lori, you need to run a business, a very good business that's very popular. Community, you need assurances that she, running that business will not adversely impact your lifestyle there in Madrid. I'm not sure that we have before us all of the possible remediations that can occur. And Commissioner Anaya, I'm not sure whether you were going to make a motion. Maybe we're aligned here. I would really like to see this case tabled and I'd like staff and Lori to consider other remediation measures. There are noise barriers that can be a part of this proposal. I don't think that even the Commission has real clarity on the fact that just based on the fact that you're willing to self-monitor, which thank you very much. We appreciate that that decibel level will be remedied.

We actually perhaps need to bring in the Sheriff as was recommended by earlier testimony. We need further clarity to make sure that this can be a win-win situation for the community and for you, Lori. I'm not trying to make a lose situation for anyone. I think this is an opportunity to step up to the plate. I think self-monitoring and noise decibel leveling is fine but you can also prevent a lot of that. And that happened in the area I grew up is El Farol had to put a lot of noise barriers in the building. And they did have to go to additional remedies with regard to noise barriers. And I didn't hear any of that. That may be an alternative that needs to be discussed, because I just don't think that monitoring with the noise is going to be and having Sheriff Departments come out there or Land Use Departments come out there and tell you to bring the noise level down is going to do it. I think you can do something to prevent that.

I also want to say, and this is just an observation from my part. We cannot monitor personal behavior. We cannot really comment on everyone's experiences here, but what we can do and what I will say as the chair is we have really hardworking staff here at Santa Fe County and none of us up here are going to appreciate any disparaging comments about our staff. So if you have had a bad experience there's a way you can address that through our County Manager. But I frankly, personally have to say I know Jack Kolkmeier, I know Shelley, I know all of the people who work in our Land Use Department. They are one of the most ethical, competent, perhaps even the best planners in the state. I've made note of that. So whatever your experiences were we can't address them but we can tell you that we don't – I can tell you at least from my perspective, I don't appreciate our staff being disparaged in any way, shape or form.

So with that, I'm just going to throw out the possibility that we might be able to consider tabling this for more measures to be considered. Not for monitoring the noise but for bringing the noise level down. With that I'll open it for any further discussion. Commissioner

Anaya.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, with all do respect, I actually wasn't going to make a motion to table. I respect and appreciate your remarks very much but I feel that the applicant in front of us and the recommendations of staff are prudent and will respect whatever the desire of the balance of the Commission is. But I respect the recommendations of staff. I was going to make a motion and I will make a motion for approval, with under item #5, based on input from staff that they said that all temporary stages, tents, canopies, tables, chairs, etc. for outdoor use have to be removed, that we take that requirement out and I still feel that the sunset provision is something that we should maybe discuss. But having listened to Mr. Kolkmeier I think that's maybe something that we'll maybe engage and discuss at a further day. So I would leave that 7:00 pm provision within there. I would also leave in the recommendations that Commissioner Holian brought up, that the applicant agreed to as part of my motion. That's my motion, Madam Chair.

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, I'll second that for discussion.

CHAIR VIGIL: I have a second for discussion. Further discussion?

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Yes. Madam Chair, I need Commissioner Anaya to clarify in item 5 what you deleted.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: Madam Chair, Commissioner Stefanics, I just deleted the item that staff suggested that maybe that isn't as essential to us and that's the item that would remove – mandate that they remove the tents, canopies, tables, chairs within 24 hours. Is that correct?

MS. COBAU: and Madam Chair, Commissioner Anaya, if we could, we'd like to clarify the condition regarding offsite signage. We'd just like to add the word permanent. Because temporary offsite signage is allowed per code. We just wanted to – we didn't want permanent offsite signage to be placed. So put permanent in front of offsite signage also please.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: So I would amend my motion, if Commissioner Mayfield would accept that I would add that provision, temporary signage. So that it would be temporary.

MS. COBAU: So I think we could clarify it if we just add the word permanent in front of offsite signage. Because temporary signage is allowed offsite.

COMMISSIONER ANAYA: And also with the conditions that Commissioner Holian brought up earlier.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Madam Chair.

CHAIR VIGIL: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Would you consider another condition? I'm not actually sure what the situation is right now, but I would like to see some sort of a condition that no outdoor performances in the amphitheater will occur until permanent noise mitigation barriers or baffles are in place. Something like that. Yes, we do that. Maybe you're planning to put the baffles in soon. I'm not sure what the current status is.

MR. HOEFT: Commissioner Holian, Lori just mentioned to me that they have the baffling along the wall, along the west side of the property where the stage is that, as well as they have a sound stage that they bring in for the performances. That is baffling in and of itself as one of the speakers, one of the proponents indicated, how Lori brings in a stage that deadens all the sound. And so that is a baffling.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: So the baffle situation is under control at this point?

MR. HOEFT: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. And I wondered if you would accept another condition. Then I'll withdraw that. But to keep a log of the sound readings, at least for the first year so that we have some feeling for what is actually happening.

MR. HOEFT: Commissioner Holian, that is item 2 of the six mitigation measures already on the master plan.

COMMISSIONER HOLIAN: Okay. Great. Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Anything further?

COMMISSIONER MAYFIELD: Madam Chair, it was just brought up under recommendations from staff, you all will present to staff that the conditions to NMED will be met prior to moving forward, and you also will provide some assurances that the fire safety lane will not be blocked, if it means calling a tow truck.

MR. HOEFT: Yes, we'll accept that as a condition. The NMED is already a condition, if I'm not mistaken, and one of the gentlemen who spoke said that from the Fire Department said that the lane was well marked, but we can follow up on that.

CHAIR VIGIL: So, Scott, while you're up there, what happens if through your self-monitoring, the decibel level is above the Noise Ordinance acceptance level?

MR. HOEFT: That's a good question. I would argue that the process would be that if there's an activity going on in Madrid and Lori goes out there with the monitor or as was indicated by the amendment, or a person duly qualified and trained to do so, then that would be indicated to Lori that there's a reading on the exterior of the Mine Shaft that is exceeding then she would be forced to turn the music down, is how I see the process as occurring, as well as logging it.

CHAIR VIGIL: Okay. Commissioner Stefanics.

COMMISSIONER STEFANICS: Madam Chair, in relation to the comments that you made, it would really, if we move ahead with the approval of this this evening, it won't do anything to really bring the community together. And something that might work is if the owner of the Mine Shaft would consider, and I'm not going to suggest this as a condition, but would consider creating a community advisory committee that actually looks at and knows what kind of music is coming ahead or as part of the planning for the community. When things happen at the ballpark the community pretty much knows what's going to happen at the ballpark and they decide to either stay and participate or go home and lock their doors or leave town for the day. And so I guess I'm talking about a little bit of transparency, and if there's some way to involve the community that might help. But again,

I'm not suggesting that as a condition, I'm just suggesting that might bring some of the community together. Thank you.

CHAIR VIGIL: Anything further. I still have a major concern about any kind of response to the noise level, which is really the focus of this issue. I do believe, Lori, that there are things you can do that I was hoping you could take back and that is provide noise buffering within the building, because a lot of the testimony we've heard is not about outdoors, it's about indoor too. I think you should consider doing that. But there is a motion on the floor and if there's no further testimony, I'm willing to take the motion vote.

The motion passed by majority [4-1] voice vote with Commissioner Vigil voting against.

CHAIR VIGIL: I'm going to oppose it; I think it should be tabled. You have a 4-1 favored motion.

XVI. ADJOURNMENT

Having completed the agenda and with no further business to come before this body, Chairwoman Vigil declared this meeting adjourned at 9:10 p.m.



Valerie Espinoza
VALERIE ESPINOZA
SANTA FE COUNTY CLERK

Approved by:

Virginia Vigil
Board of County Commissioners
Virginia Vigil, Chairwoman

Respectfully submitted:

Karen Farrell
Karen Farrell, Wordswork
227 E. Palace Avenue
Santa Fe, NM 87501

MEMORANDUM

To: The Board of County Commission
From: Teresa C. Martinez *JCM*
Date: April 4, 2011
Reference: A Resolution for Disposal of Seized DWI Personal Property

ISSUE: Departments are to annually collect information related to inoperable or obsolete property and equipment of Santa Fe County in order to determine its eligibility for surplus.

BACKGROUND: Each Santa Fe County department participates in the process to review damaged, broken, or obsolete fixed assets inventory to determine whether assets are usable, safe for continued use, or if the cost to repair the item would be excessive.

The Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department maintains an area for Seized DWI vehicles which is reviewed for disposal. Santa Fe County follows the State of New Mexico statutory policies to dispose of such property that has been in the possession of the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department for more than ninety (90) days, pursuant to NMSA 1978, 29-1-14(A) (2003).

The Sheriff's Department submits the listing of items to the Finance Division. A Resolution with the listing is submitted to the Finance Division Director for review, to the Attorney's Office for approval as to form, and to the Manager's Department. The Resolution is then submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for approval. Upon approval of the Board of County Commissioners, a copy of the Resolution will be submitted to the State Auditor's Office.

REQUEST ACTION: The attached packet material is a Resolution requesting approval to surplus seven DWI vehicles which were seized by the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department, and have since been adjudicated and titled to Santa Fe County.

Upon approval of the resolution by the Board of County Commission and the State Auditor's Office, the items would be auctioned at the July 2011 Surplus Equipment Auction held by the Department of Public Safety, State Police Division.

Enclosure: Resolution No. 2011- ____, A Resolution for Disposal of Seized DWI Personal Property

cc: Katherine Miller

SANTA FE COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO. 2011-

A Resolution Authorizing Public Sale of Seized DWI Personal Property that Has Been in the Possession of the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department for More than Ninety (90) Days, in Accordance with State Statutes

WHEREAS, the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department has had in its possession for over ninety days seized property that came into its possession lawfully, which property is identified in the inventory attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the seized properties (i) have been forfeited or adjudicated to the Santa Fe County DWI Seizure Program; (ii) are not needed for use in obtaining a conviction; and (iii) are not needed for any other public purpose; and


WHEREAS, NMSA 1978, Section 29-1-14(A) (2003) authorizes the public sale of such property, the proceeds of which will be paid to the Santa Fe County Treasurer for credit to the Santa Fe County Law Enforcement Operations Fund.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County that the public sale of the seized properties inventoried on Exhibit A is hereby authorized.

APPROVED, ADOPTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2011.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Virginia Vigil, Chairperson



Robert Garcia, County Sheriff

Valerie Espinoza, County Clerk

Approved As To Form:



Stephen C. Ross, County Attorney



Teresa C. Martinez, Finance Division Director

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: SHERIFF'S OFFICE

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: 

TELEPHONE NO.: 505-490-1019

LISA DOFFLEMYER


ASSET NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/ MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
02-09-005854	SILVER 1993 NISSAN SENTRA	JN1EB31P4PU213956	221,655	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$1,200.00
02-09-007413	SILVER 1992 INFINITY G-20	JNKCP01P1NT312658	166,089	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$1,300.00
02-10-005361	BLACK 1991 FORD EXPLORER	1FMEDU34X8MUD6655	154,485	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$1,800.00
02-10-005498	RED 1995 MITSUBISHI MAX PICK-UP	JA7LS21G6SP005502	147,057	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$1,800.00
02-10-008862	JADE GREEN 1998 PONTIAC GRAND AM	1G2NE52T3WC701865	142,940	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,500.00
02-10-009162	RED 1998 HONDA 4 DOOR	1HGEJ6676WL000684	190,048	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$3,000.00
02-10-005361	BLACK 1997 SUBARU STATION WAGON	4S3BG6857V7640213	186,218	DWI SEIZURE	FAIR	SHERIFF'S	\$3,000.00
LISTED ABOVE	ARE DWI SEIZURE CARS GOING TO AUCTION ON	7/16/2011					

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: N/A

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.


Department Director 

County Manager 

Date: 4-4-11

Date: 4.6.11

MEMORANDUM

To: The Board of County Commission
From: Teresa C. Martinez 
Date: March 31, 2011
Reference: A Resolution for Disposal of Seized Personal Property

ISSUE: Departments are to annually collect information related to inoperable or obsolete property and equipment of Santa Fe County in order to determine its eligibility for surplus.

BACKGROUND: Each Santa Fe County department participates in the process to review damaged, broken, or obsolete fixed assets inventory to determine whether assets are usable, safe for continued use, or if the cost to repair the item would be excessive.

The Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department maintains an Evidence area for Seized Personal Property and Found Property – which is reviewed for disposal. Santa Fe County follows the State of New Mexico statutory policies to dispose of such property that has been in the possession of the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department for more than ninety (90) days, pursuant to NMSA 1978, 29-1-14(A) (2003).

The Sheriff's Department submits the listing of surplus items to the Finance Division. A Resolution with the listing is submitted to the Finance Division Director for review, to the Attorney's Office for approval as to form, and to the Manager's Department. The Resolution is then submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for approval. Upon approval of the Board of County Commissioners, a copy of the Resolution will be submitted to the State Auditor's Office.

REQUEST ACTION: The attached packet material is a Resolution requesting approval to surplus Found Property (also known as unclaimed evidence property) that has been in the possession of the Sheriff's Department for more than 90 days.

Upon approval of the resolution by the Board of County Commission and the State Auditor's Office, the items would be auctioned at the July 2011 Surplus Equipment Auction held by the Department of Public Safety, State Police Division.

Enclosure: Resolution No. 2011- ____, A Resolution for Disposal of Seized Personal Property
cc: Katherine Miller

SANTA FE COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO. 2011-

A Resolution Authorizing Public Sale of Seized Personal Property that Has Been in the Possession of the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department for More than Ninety (90) Days, in Accordance with State Statutes

WHEREAS, the Santa Fe County Sheriff's Department has had in its possession for over ninety days unclaimed evidence property that came into its possession lawfully, which property is identified in the inventory attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the unclaimed evidence properties (i) have been unclaimed by their true owners; (ii) are not needed for use in obtaining a conviction; and (iii) are not needed for any other public purpose; and


WHEREAS, NMSA 1978, Section 29-1-14(A) (2003) authorizes the public sale of such property, the proceeds of which will be paid to the Santa Fe County Treasurer for credit to the Santa Fe County general fund.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County that the public sale of the unclaimed evidence property inventoried on Exhibit A is hereby authorized.

APPROVED, ADOPTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2011.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS


Virginia Vigil, Chairperson



Robert Garcia, County Sheriff

Valerie Espinoza, County Clerk

Approved As To Form:



Stephen C. Ross, County Attorney



Teresa C. Martinez, Finance Division Director

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
ALL OTHER ASSETS**

DEPARTMENT: Sheriff's

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Valerie Valencia / Evidence & Property Specialist

TELEPHONE NO.: 986-2450

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
0205004356	Headstones X2	None	Found Property 90 Days	Good	Sheriff's	\$400.00
0209009255	Sony Video Camera in Case	N/A	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$50.00
0206009787	Cash Register	P2064170	Found Property 90 Days	Damaged	Sheriff's	\$20.00
0210003789	Insignia Flat Screen TV 32"	9A19A0250AA	Found Property 90 Days	Good	Sheriff's	\$500.00
0210007536	Sentry Safe	None	Found Property 90 Days	Damaged	Sheriff's	\$20.00
0210003429	Rigid Impact Drill	B073159597	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$30.00
0210003429	Skill Saw	78112	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$30.00
0210003429	Dewalt Drill / Grinder	20060899 / C191601	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$60.00
0209005151	5 Pair's of Cowboy Boots	None	Found Property 90 Days	Poor	Sheriff's	\$50.00
0210007130	Various Watches	None	Found Property 90 Days	Good	Sheriff's	\$100.00
0208009796	Standard Portable Radio	4ZU172311	Safekeeping 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$30.00
0209000978	2 Car Stereo's JVC / Pioneer	103X1852 / FLTM2000	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$75.00
0210006497	Grinder Bits / Crescent Wrenches	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$50.00
0210006497	Black & Decker Weed Eater	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$40.00
0210006497	Gas Cylinder Tank	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$50.00
0210006497	Uhaul Large Wheel Dolly	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$25.00
0210006497	26 Gal. Husky Compressor	None	Found Property 90 Days	Good	Sheriff's	\$200.00
0210006497	Big Red Engine Hoist	None	Found Property 90 Days	Good	Sheriff's	\$150.00
0210006497	6 Gal. Clark Compressor	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriff's	\$30.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director  Date: 3-23-11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR 2007
ALL OTHER ASSETS**

Sheriffs

DEPARTMENT:

PERSON COMPLETING
THE FORM:

Valerie Valencia / Evidence & Property Specialist


TELEPHONE NO.:

986-2450

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
0210006497	Wheel Grinder Bits in Gray Case	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$25.00
0210006497	Porter Cable Jig Saw	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$75.00
0210006497	Fire Storm Cordless Drill	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$25.00
0210006497	Husky Impact Wrench	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$50.00
0210006497	Steinel Heat Gun	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$40.00
0210006497	Makita Circular Saw	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$100.00
0210006497	Misc. Hand Tools in a Brn/Blk Bag	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$30.00
0210006497	Ideal Electrical Socket Tester	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$25.00
0210006497	Lenox Electrical Drill Bit	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$25.00
0210006497	Misc. Hand Tools in a Whi/Blu Bag	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$30.00
0210006497	Gray Canvas Bag	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$20.00
0210006497	Blk Milwaukee Nylon Tool Bag	None	Found Property 90 Days	Fair	Sheriffs	\$20.00
0209005252	American Security Steel Safe Black	A523405	Found Property 90 Days	Good	Sheriffs	\$500.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)


We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.



Department Director

Date: 5-23-11

MEMORANDUM

To: The Board of County Commission
From: Teresa C. Martinez 
Date: March 31, 2011
Reference: A Resolution for Disposal of Personal Property

ISSUE: Departments are to annually collect information related to inoperable or obsolete property and equipment of Santa Fe County in order to determine its eligibility for surplus.

BACKGROUND: Each Santa Fe County department participates in the process to review damaged, broken, or obsolete fixed assets inventory to determine whether assets are usable, safe for continued use, or if the cost to repair the item would be excessive.

Santa Fe County follows the State of New Mexico statutory policies to dispose of surplus property and equipment pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 13-6-2 (2007).

Each department may submit a proposed listing of surplus items to the Finance Division. Electronic items are reviewed by the IT Department. Vehicles are reviewed by the Fleet Department to determine eligibility for surplus. The final listing of all items is then forwarded to all Santa Fe County departments, as well as, to the Association of Counties to see if other departments or New Mexico Counties can use the items that may be offered for surplus.

A Resolution with attached surplus lists is submitted to the Finance Division Director for review, to the Attorney's Office for approval as to form, and to the Manager's Department. The Resolution is then submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for approval. Upon approval of the Board of County Commissioners and the State of New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration Local Government Division, a copy of the Resolution will be submitted to the State Auditor's Office.

REQUEST ACTION: The attached packet material is a Resolution requesting approval to surplus two American La France fire vehicles – a 1977 Ladder Truck valued at \$7,000 and a 1974 Fire Engine valued at \$5,000. The Fire Department has deemed each vehicle to be too costly to repair. Upon approval of the Resolution by the Board of County Commission, the trucks would be auctioned at the July 2011 Surplus Equipment Auction held by the Department of Public Safety, State Police Division.

Enclosure: Resolution No. 2011 - _____, A Resolution for Disposal of Personal Property
cc: Katherine Miller, Dave Sperling, Steve Moya

SANTA FE COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO. 2011-

A RESOLUTION FOR DISPOSAL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Santa Fe County is requesting approval to surplus fixed assets such as fire trucks identified in Exhibit A, attached; and

WHEREAS, the Exhibit A details the items by department, Santa Fe County property tag number, equipment description, Vehicle ID number, reason for surplus, condition of equipment, estimated fair market value; and

WHEREAS, the requested surplus equipment has been deemed cost prohibitive to repair; and

WHEREAS, the requested surplus equipment has an estimated current resale value of five thousand dollars (\$5,000) or more for each item;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County that this Resolution to surplus the equipment as listed on Exhibit A pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 13-6-2 (2007), is hereby adopted.

APPROVED, ADOPTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2011.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Virginia Vigil, Chairperson

Valerie Espinoza, County Clerk

Dave Sperling, Acting Fire Chief

Approved As To Form:

Stephen C. Ross, County Attorney

Teresa C. Martinez, Finance Division Director

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: CSD/Fire

PERSON COMPLETING
THE FORM: Steve Moya

TELEPHONE NO.:

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/ MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
G-38588	¹⁹⁷⁷ American La France/Ladder truck	CE294774	14894	excessive cost	fair	Fire Admin	7000
G09427	¹⁹⁷⁴ American La France/Engine	PM294071	N/A	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	5000

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: *[Signature]* FLEET MANAGER

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *[Signature]* Date: 3-30-2011

County Manager *[Signature]* Date: 3-30-2011

MEMORANDUM

To: The Board of County Commission
From: Teresa C. Martinez *JCM*
Date: March 31, 2011
Reference: A Resolution for Disposal of Personal Property

ISSUE: Departments are to annually collect information related to inoperable or obsolete property and equipment of Santa Fe County in order to determine its eligibility for surplus.

BACKGROUND: Each Santa Fe County department participates in the process to review damaged, broken, or obsolete fixed assets inventory to determine whether assets are usable, safe for continued use, or if the cost to repair the item would be excessive.

Santa Fe County follows the State of New Mexico statutory policies to dispose of surplus property and equipment pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 13-6-1 (2007).

Each department may submit a proposed listing of surplus items to the Finance Division. Some departments such as Public Works Solid Waste and the Sheriff's Department have items that are no longer usable and can only be sold as scrap metal. Those items are also disposed through the Resolution process.

A Resolution with attached surplus lists is submitted to the Finance Division Director for review, to the Attorney's Office for approval as to form, and to the Manager's Department. The Resolution is then submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for approval. Upon approval of the Board of County Commissioners, a copy of the Resolution will be submitted to the State Auditor's Office.

REQUEST ACTION: The attached packet material is a resolution requesting approval to dispose of personal property as scrap metal such as roll-off bins, metal rods, a wrecked vehicle, vehicle metal partitions and consoles – all of which are in poor condition.

Upon approval of the resolution by the Board of County Commission and notification to the State Auditor's Office, the items would be sold for scrap metal.

Enclosure: Resolution No. 2011 - _____, A Resolution for Disposal of Personal Property
cc: Katherine Miller

SANTA FE COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO. 2011-

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DISPOSAL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Santa Fe County desires to sell as scrap metal certain personal property identified in Exhibit A, attached; and

WHEREAS, Exhibit A details each item of personal property by department or office, equipment description, Santa Fe County property tag number, serial number, condition of equipment, and estimated fair market value; and

WHEREAS, each item of personal property on Exhibit A is worn-out, unusable or obsolete to the extent that the item is no longer economical or safe for continued use by Santa Fe County; and


WHEREAS, each item on Exhibit A has an estimated current resale value of less than five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County hereby approves the disposal of the personal property listed on Exhibit A pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 13-6-1 (2007).

APPROVED, ADOPTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2011.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS


Virginia Vigil, Chairperson


Robert Martinez, Transportation Manager

Valerie Espinoza, County Clerk

Approved As To Form:


Stephen C. Ross, County Attorney


Teresa C. Martinez, Finance Division Director

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
ALL OTHER ASSETS**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: SHERIFF'S OFFICE

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM:

LISA DOFFLEMYER



TELEPHONE NO.:


505-490-1019

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
	Center Consoles, metal, (25) / each		removed from vehicles going to surplus auction on 7-16-11	Poor	SFSO	\$20.00
	Partions, metal, (25) / each		removed from vehicles going to surplus auction on 7-16-11	Poor	SFSO	\$20.00
	Listed below are the vehicles, consoles and partions were removed from.					
G60071	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G60061	2005 CHEVY IMPALA			
G60695	2005 FORD CRN VIC	G58494	2004 DODGE DURANGO			
G52191	2002 FORD CRN VIC	G54913	2003 FORD CRN VIC			
G60066	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G57250	2004 FORD CRN VIC			
G60068	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G54912	2003 FORD CRN VIC			
G60067	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G52183	2002 FORD CRN VIC			
G65476	2006 CHEVY IMPALA	G52182	2002 FORD CRN VIC			
G60064	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G57251	2004 FORD CRN VIC			
G60070	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G55024	2003 FORD CRN VIC			
G54910	2003 FORD CRN VIC	G57253	2004 FORD CRN VIC			
G52184	2002 FORD CRN VIC	G47155	2001 FORD CRN VIC			
G54917	2003 FORD CRN VIC	G20383	2002 DODGE DURANGO			
G60060	2005 CHEVY IMPALA	G74437	2008 CHEVY IMPALA			

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director



Date: 4-1-11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT

DEPARTMENT: SHERIFF'S OFFICE



PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM:

LISA DOFFLEMYER 

TELEPHONE NO.: 505-490-1019


TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
G74437	UNIT 121 / 2008 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WS551818361234	107,282	DESTROYED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$500.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: Andy Winn 3-23-11
We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
Department Director  Date: 3-21-11
County Manager  Date: 3.30.2011

MEMORANDUM

To: The Board of County Commission

From: Teresa C. Martinez 

Date: March 31, 2011

Reference: A Resolution for Disposal of Personal Property

ISSUE: Departments are to annually collect information related to inoperable or obsolete property and equipment of Santa Fe County in order to determine its eligibility for surplus.

BACKGROUND: Each Santa Fe County department participates in the process to review damaged, broken, or obsolete fixed assets inventory to determine whether assets are usable, safe for continued use, or if the cost to repair the item would be excessive.

Santa Fe County follows the State of New Mexico statutory policies to dispose of surplus property and equipment pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 13-6-1 (2007).

Each department may submit a proposed listing of surplus items to the Finance Division. Electronic items are reviewed by the IT Department. Vehicles are reviewed by the Fleet Department to determine eligibility for surplus. The final listing of all items is then forwarded to all Santa Fe County departments, as well as, to the Association of Counties to see if other departments or New Mexico Counties can use the items that may be offered for surplus.

A Resolution with attached surplus lists is submitted to the Finance Division Director for review, to the Attorney's Office for approval as to form, and to the Manager's Department. The Resolution is then submitted to the Board of County Commissioners for approval. Upon approval of the Board of County Commissioners, a copy of the Resolution will be submitted to the State Auditor's Office.

REQUEST ACTION: The attached packet material is a resolution requesting approval to surplus obsolete personal property such as computers, monitors, printers, furniture and vehicles. Each of the items is worn-out, unusable, or obsolete to the extent that the item is no longer economical or safe for continued use by Santa Fe County. Upon approval of the resolution by the Board of County Commission and notification to the State Auditor's Office, the items would be auctioned at the July 2011 Surplus Equipment Auction held by the Department of Public Safety, State Police Division.

Enclosure: Resolution No. 2011 - _____, A Resolution for Disposal of Personal Property

cc: Katherine Miller

SANTA FE COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO. 2011-

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DISPOSAL OF PERSONAL PROPERTY

WHEREAS, Santa Fe County desires to dispose of certain personal property identified in Exhibit A, attached; and

WHEREAS, Exhibit A details each item of personal property by department or office, equipment description, Santa Fe County property tag number, serial number, condition of equipment, and estimated fair market value; and

WHEREAS, each item of personal property on Exhibit A is worn-out, unusable or obsolete to the extent that the item is no longer economical or safe for continued use by Santa Fe County; and

WHEREAS, each item on Exhibit A has an estimated current resale value of less than five thousand dollars (\$5,000).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of County Commissioners of Santa Fe County hereby approves the disposal of the personal property listed on Exhibit A pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 13-6-1 (2007).

APPROVED, ADOPTED AND PASSED this 26th day of April 2011.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Virginia Vigil, Chairperson

Valerie Espinoza, County Clerk

Approved As To Form:

Stephen C. Ross, County Attorney

Teresa C. Martinez, Finance Division Director

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: SHERIFF'S OFFICE PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: LISA DOFFLEMYER TELEPHONE NO.: 505-490-1019

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
G60071	UNIT 7 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K259194889	158,868	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60695	UNIT 11 / 2005 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W75X123875	95,216	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G52191	UNIT 15 / 2002 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W72X115772	156,243	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60066	UNIT 20 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K859197294	163,747	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60068	UNIT 23 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K259196674	179,080	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60067	UNIT 24 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K159197816	154,026	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G65476	UNIT 29 / 2006 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WS551269283835	137,985	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60064	UNIT 31 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K559195079	158,064	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60070	UNIT 39 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K959197613	117,414	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G54910	UNIT 49 / 2003 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W53X184266	185,214	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G52184	UNIT 51 / 2002 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W22X115758	129,925	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G54917	UNIT 56 / 2003 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W93X184268	201,885	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60060	UNIT 59 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K959194761	188,431	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G60061	UNIT 62 / 2005 CHEVY IMPALA	2G1WF5K959198115	153,780	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G58494	UNIT 78 / 2004 DODGE DURANGO	1D4HB48D64F193160	128,246	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: ANDY WINN *Andy Winn 4-15-11*

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director: [Signature] Date: 4-15-11

County Manager: [Signature] Date: 4-18-11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: SHERIFF'S OFFICE

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: LISA DOFFLEMYER

TELEPHONE NO.: 505-490-1019

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
G54913	UNIT 81 / 2003 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W93X184271	172,773	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G57250	UNIT 85 / 2004 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W14X129914	143,056	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G54912	UNIT 90 / 2003 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W23X184273	138,836	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G52183	UNIT 91 / 2002 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W32X115767	145,506	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G52182	UNIT 95 / 2002 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W22X115761	161,534	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G57251	UNIT 100 / 2004 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W64X129911	123,653	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G55024	UNIT 102 / 2003 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W43X184274	130,891	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G57253	UNIT 103 / 2004 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W54X129916	98,984	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
601 MZD	UNIT 111 / 2002 DODGE STATUS	1B3EL36R32N230983	167,122	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
212 MZC	UNIT 127 / 2002 DODGE STATUS	1B3EL36RX2N230978	119,536	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
999 MYS	UNIT 130 / 2002 DODGE DURANGO	1B4HS38N72F163031	145,564	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G47155	UNIT 132 / 2001 FORD CRN VIC	2FAFP71W71X136748	148,489	NOT USED	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00
G50383	UNIT 138 / 2002 DODGE DURANGO	1B4HS38N92F163032	192,763	EXCESSIVE COST	POOR	SHERIFF'S	\$2,000.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: ANDY WINN *Andy Winn 4-15-11*

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director: [Signature] Date: 4-15-11

County Manager: [Signature] Date: 4.18.11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: Sheriff's Department / Region III

PERSON COMPLETING

THE FORM: Mary Armijo y Clifford

TELEPHONE NO.: 473-7036

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/ MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
<i>598MKE</i>	2002 DODGE INTREPID (WHITE)	2B3HD46RX2H193407	107,361	Excessive cost to repair	FAIR	Region III Office	\$100.00
<i>291NAP</i>	2002 DODGE RAM TRUCK (WHITE)	1D7HU16N02J248400	Unknown at this time	Excessive cost to repair	POOR	Region III Office	\$100.00
<i>6JM408</i>	2004 DODGE DURANGO (WHITE)	1D4HB48DX4F193162	Unknown at this time	Excessive cost to repair	POOR	Region III Office	\$100.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Andy L. Jr 4-4-11

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: _____
 We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
 Department Director *Sherriff [Signature]* Date: 3-29-11
 County Manager *Katherine [Signature]* Date: 4-4-11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT Corrections Administration

PERSON COMPLETING
THE FORM: Sgt. Joey Garcia

TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/ MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
G-69244	2000 Dodge Intrepid	2B3HD46R3YH291896	vehicle/ no power	Blown engine	Poor	S.F.A.D.F.	\$500.00
G-69242	2000 Dodge Intrepid	2B3HD46R5YH291897	vehicle/ no power	Blown engine	Poor	S.F.A.D.F.	\$500.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: *[Signature]* 3-23-11

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *[Signature]* Date: 3/18/11

County Manager *[Signature]* Date: 3.30.11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
VEHICLES/HEAVY EQUIPMENT**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: CSD/Fire

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Steve Moya

TELEPHONE NO.: _____

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	VEHICLE ID NO.	MILEAGE/MACHINE HOURS	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
G-09528	1970 Ford Tanker	T80FVJ63794	n/a	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	2500
G-09498	1980 Ford Fire Engine	F70KVGJ8699	N/A	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	3000
G-09504	1973 Ford Brush 1	F66EVT40319	14229	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	2000
G-09506	1979 Chevy Brush 2	C17DE9V127352	103394	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	1000
G-13901	1975 Kenworth Tanker	146083S	101925	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	1500
G-53873	1989 Ford Rehab Vehicle	1FDKE3CM9KHA76654	n/a	excessive cost	poor	Fire Admin	1000

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: [Signature] FLEET MANAGER

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director [Signature] Date: 3-30-2011

County Manager [Signature] Date: 3-30-2011

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Assessors Office TELEPHONE NO.: 986-6309
PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Debora Trujillo

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
JE00708	Lap-top, Gateway, M685-E	40463210	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Camera, Digital, Olympus C-50 zoom	11528732	Broken Lense	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Camera, Digital, Sony DSC P72	1500936	Broken Camera door	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Monitor, Flat Screen, NEC	S206234799	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
FA015833	Monitor, Flat screen, Micro Tec	S38F50177	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Computer, Sun Blade, CPU Unit	PR17016881	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Keyboard, Sun Micro	0038147-9640395540	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Keyboard, Sun Micro	0039147-9910069651	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Keyboard, Sun Micro	0039147-0042023652	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Keyboard, Sun Micro	0039147-9748711050	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Keyboard, Sun Micro	0039147-9243057312	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Keyboard, Sun Micro	0039147-9811787388	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
10660	Lettering Machine, CAD Liner Max	9505502	Broken	poor	120 Grant	1
11897	Battery, Back-up	310116018	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Battery, Back-up	377353	non working	poor	120 Grant	1

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
Patricia Sissneros (IT Staff Signature-Certification) Date: 04/05/11

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director Deborah Trujillo Date: 3/30/2011

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: Assessors Office TELEPHONE NO.: 986-6309
 PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Debora Trujillo

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
no tag	Battery Back-up, APV L.S UPS	PB321324102	non working	poor	120 Grant	1
no tag	Battery Back up, APC UPS	SU1000net/ws00701012	non working	poor	120 Grant	1

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
 We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
 Department Director: [Signature] Date: 04/05/11
 (IT Staff Signature Certification)

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS
PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: SGT. J.GARCIA
TELEPHONE NO.: 428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
NONE	Phone, Inmate, PBG	328753	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Phone, Inmate, PBG	None	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Phone, Inmate, PBG	None	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Phone, Inmate, PBG	328778	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
Charles S. Senevas 03/30/11
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director: [Signature] Date: 4/28/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES 2011

DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS ADF

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Sgt. J. Garcia

TELEPHONE NO.: 428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123786	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sylvania	T36539075	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Television, Emerson	V50236300A	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
IE1070	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123783	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Alarm box, Advance Tech.	10001063	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123770	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123758	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123538	Working, but not currently in use	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123546	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	v8130120123760	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sylvania	736599184	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sylvania	733580537	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123768	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123765	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, RCA	350213564	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123775	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
IE1070	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123799	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$5.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director [Signature] Date: 4/28/11

[Signature] 03/30/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR 2011
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS ADF
PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: SGT. J. GARCIA
TELEPHONE NO.: 428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
NONE	Television, Sylvania	T33580532	Working, but not currently used	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sanyo	V8130120123579	Working, but not currently used	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Television, Sylvania	T33580542	Working, but not currently used	Fair	ADF	\$5.00
NONE	Monitor, Pelco (SURVEILLANCE)	50525140	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Monitor, Pelco	50714970	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
FA0723	Monitor, Pelco	65317194	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
FA0721	Monitor, Pelco	65317183	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
FA0719	Monitor, Pelco	50714888	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Monitor, Pelco	50714745	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Monitor, Pelco	50525149	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Monitor, Pelco	50714797	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Monitor, Pelco	50525151	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
FA0718	Monitor, Pelco	50714891	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Speaker, Quam	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Video Recorder, Sanyo	48570310	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director [Signature] Date: 4/28/11

CS 03/30/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR 2011
COMPUTER AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS ADF

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: SGT. J. GARCIA

TELEPHONE NO.: 428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
NONE	Video Switcher, Pelco	41040107	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Switcher, Pelco	65352	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Quad, Burk	1063	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Audio amp, Montgomery	None	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Camera, Kowa	None	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, Toshiba	68643422	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, Toshiba	12642439	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Camera, Sony	170358	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, PSA	N1899	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, PSA	N1896	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, PSA	N1681	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, PSA	N1699	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Video Color Camera, PSA	N1689	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Computer Modem, Compaq	6B175KT3TOSL	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$0.01
						\$1.00
						\$1.00
						\$1.00
						\$1.00
						\$1.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director [Signature] Date: 4/28/11

CS 03/30/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections- YDP
PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Patrick Rodriguez TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-310

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE004856	Computer, Dell PC	B0TCL11	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Computer, Gateway E-6500 PC	36883788	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	50
FA016064	Computer, Gateway E-4100	32811037	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	50
FA016065	Computer, Gateway E-4100	32811020	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	50
FA016053	Computer, Gateway E-4100	32811030	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	50
FA016058	Computer, Gateway E-4100	32811039	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	50
FA016053	Computer, Gateway E-4100	32811018	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	50
FA0380	Monitor, Gateway Micro-ATX-FMN-GP6-400G	16826172	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Printer, HP Desk Jet 952C	MY12M181ZV	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE0730	Monitor, View Sonic VX922	PXU061638158	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	25
None	Printer, HP Desk Jet 882C	MY91Q122F4	Obsolete	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
Patricia Rodriguez
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director Ignacio Jimenez 4/27/11 Date: _____

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections-YDP
PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Patrick Rodriguez
TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-3110

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE0512	Tape player, Sanyo Memo-Scriber TRC-6030	P8325699	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway model MMP	6CGXG01	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway model 700-069EV	17004A589994	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE004671	Monitor, Gateway model EV700B	MU17026C44010	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Television, Sylvania TV model SRT2420	J33494664	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway Monitor model 786N	MRLSS50004560	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
FA0361	Monitor, Gateway EV700	MIE2JA068482	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway EV700	MU17046C0251170	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway FPD1730	MU17007G00654D	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Printer, HP LaserJet 5100	4013906	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Typewriter IBM Personal Wheel writer	IDM8781	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE0808	Monitor, Gateway 17 VX755	MRLSS50004549	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE1135	Monitor, Gateway FPD1765	ME55890C01723	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset:

Patrick Rodriguez
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

Information Technology Division

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *Juanaballe* 4/7/11 Date:

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections-YDP
PERSON COMPLETING: Patrick Rodriguez
THE FORM: TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-3110

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
None	Monitor, Gateway 2000	G8E060674	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE0809	Gateway Color Monitor	MRLSS50004944	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway EV700C	MU17046C0006584	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, NEC Model JC-1571VMA	6706762EP	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Typewriter, Brother ML-100	J0D96637	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Micron	YA009904133936	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE0811	Monitor, Gateway VX930	MU19009E003462	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
12631	Monitor, Gateway 2000	MONO15006ACWW	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Television, Panasonic TV PV-C2062	L1AA20465	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Computer, Dell Optiplex GX270	7JXFR551	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Computer, Gateway Server Model 975	33970689	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway FPD1730	MUL7007K0028958	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Monitor, Gateway	MUL8006A0045954	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
IE005150	Fax Machine, Brother MFC 9700 Fax	U60073K3J767471	Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0
None	Speakers, 8 keyboards, 4 mice & Misc. wires		Broken	Not Functional - Poor	YDF	0

Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

P. D. Rodriguez
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

Information Technology Division

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *Amabella Jimeno* 4/17/11 Date: _____

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-3112
PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Dominic LeDoux

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
FA 0629	Computer, Gateway 4500	0035871789	Damaged- Parted Out	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
FA 0649	Computer, Gateway 4500	0035871753	Damaged- Parted Out	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
FA 0608	Computer, Gateway 4500	0035871786	Damaged- Parted Out	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
FA 0657	Computer, Gateway 4500	0035871774	Damaged- Parted Out	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
FA 0614	Computer, Gateway 4500	0035871736	Damaged- Parted Out	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
FA 0602	Computer, Gateway 4500	0035871800	Damaged- Parted Out	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Computer, Compaq D51C	USC32109FM	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$100
None	Computer, Compaq D5pDip	6X1A-JYGZ-43ZY	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$100
IE 090	Computer, Compaq D31VMC	7P29KKMUP074	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$100
None	Computer, Compaq D31VMC	7P29AKKMUL00A	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$100
None	Computer, Compaq D51C	USC32109HS	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$100
None	Laptop, IBM Thinkpad 600	78-A7697	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$10
None	Laptop, Fujitsu Lifebook E350	8Z852093	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$10
None	Computer, Compaq D51C	USC32109FV	Obsolete	FAIR	Adult closet Charlie	\$100
None	Computer, HP VECTRA VEi8	US92813825	Obsolete	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$10

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged, destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

[Signature]
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

es 03/30/11

Information Technology Division

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *[Signature]* 3/18/11 Date:

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-3112
 PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Dominic LaDoux

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
None	Printer, HP OfficeJet 6110	MYA6AJ84NK	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP OfficeJet 6110	MY36NF7838	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP OfficeJet 6110	MYNF783B	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP OfficeJet 5110	MY471G1082	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP DeskJet 565	MY455YK3D1	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP DeskJet 5100	CNFDL012609	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP DeskJet 565	MY43J4P2H4	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP DeskJet 632C	MX14P110FS	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, HP DeskJet 940c	MX1466F11TC	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Copier, Canon ImageClass D860	KAB52821	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, LexMark E210	1041014	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Scanner, HP ScanJet 5400C	CN2751A0QR	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
None	Printer, OKI Micro Line 320 Turbo	305DA116368	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
FA2011	Printer, HP LaserJet 4000	USMB005984	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1
	Printer, HP LaserJet 4250N	SCNGXJ22551	Damaged	Poor	Adult closet Charlie	\$1

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset: Obscure, excessive use to repair, and working but not currently used by the department
Damaged, destroyed, working (note the condition-good, fair or poor)

Information Technology Division
 We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
 Department Director: [Signature] Date: 3/18/11
 IT Staff Signature Certification: [Signature] Date: 03/30/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Dominic LeDoux

TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-3112

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE 1113	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01713	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1103	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01718	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
None	Monitors, Gateway	M3557 90C 05081	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1140	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01809	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1134	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01785	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1117	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01714	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1089	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01688	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1105	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01725	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1129	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01716	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1106	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01728	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1110	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01715	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1145	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01701	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1096	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01787	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1133	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01803	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0
IE 1137	Monitors, Gateway	M3558 90C 01784	Damaged	Poor	Adult Charlie Closet	\$0

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division


(IT Staff Signature Certification)

CS 03/30/11

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director:  3/18/11 Date:

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: Corrections TELEPHONE NO.: 505-428-3112
 PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Dominic LeDoux

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM608861EF	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM60B0CF94	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM60708D32	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM609ABF0B	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM60790BFF	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM60CC50F1	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian M-2008	NNTM60707D3B	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Phone, Meridian Console M2250	NNTM60707A81AB	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Dish Converter Box 100	RFABNH37329H	Damaged	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$0
None	Electric Calculator EL-2630P	1D264974	Obsolete	Fair	Adult Closet Charlie	\$5
None	UPS, Model T1000	TT335A0426	Obsolete	Poor	Adult Closet Charlie	\$1
None	UPS, APC Back Up Pro1100	OB0435221986	Obsolete	Fair	Adult Closet Charlie	\$1
None	UPS, APC Back Up 300	PB9838629946	Obsolete	Fair	Adult Closet Charlie	\$1
None	UPS, APC Back Up 300	PB9845409923	Obsolete	Fair	Adult Closet Charlie	\$1
None	UPS, APC Back Up 300C	PB9946337789	Obsolete	Fair	Adult Closet Charlie	\$1

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset: excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition: good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
 Department Director: [Signature]
 Date: 2/18/11

CEB 03/30/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES

DEPARTMENT: CSD/FIRE

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: STEVE MOYA

TELEPHONE NO.:

9923083

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
N/A	Monitor, GW	C901181094	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
9747	Printer, HP	JPGH016213	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
IF003206	VHS, Olayer	67375799	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
N/A	Chargers, Box	N/A	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
N/A	Image Mixer	N/A	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
N/A	Gas Monitor, MSA 5 star	G921318-H03	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	25
N/A	Gas Monitor, MSA 5 star	G921327-H03	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	25
N/A	Gas Monitor, MSA 5 star	G921313-H03	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	25
17102	Gas Monitor, MSA 5 star	G9-25781-106	OBSOLETE	POOR	Turquoise Trail	25
N/A	Gas Monitor, MSA 5 star	G9-25773-106	OBSOLETE	POOR	Turquoise Trail	25
12383	Gas Monitor, 501 4 gas	103776	OBSOLETE	POOR	Turquoise Trail	25

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset:
Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division

(IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *Steve Moya* 3/27/11 Date: 3/30/11

EXHIBIT A

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

DEPARTMENT: CSD/Fire TELEPHONE NO.: 982-3083

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Steve Moya

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
FA015598	Computer, GW desktop E4800	25908276	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	10
13388	Computer, GW desktop GP7-450	13274401	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	10
11377	Computer, NEC desktop	6606293UN	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	10
SFCFIR-NA5	Monitor, GW	DN17046A0088436	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
12018	Monitor, AOC (1997)	AP120784	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
13128	Computer, GW desktop E-3100	10170225	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	10
11378	Printer, HP	CN6581R089	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
N/A	Printer, HP	SG91T1W263	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
FA015375	Laptop, Toshiba Satellite 170U-000848	2148278C4	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	10
IE004825	Monitor, GW	M417108D0958111	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
N/A	SCANNER	5208007A03023	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
FA015884	Projector, Infocus Toshiba TDP-33	AALN31290583	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
FA016004	Projector, Infocus LP-500G	AFT30390075	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5
106088	Projector, Kodak slide	N/A	OBSOLETE	POOR	PUBLIC SAFETY	5

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset: POOR
Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director S. Moya Date: 4/10/11

28 04/05/11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR 2011
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

DEPARTMENT: CSD / RECC EXHIBIT A
 PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: NANCY CALHOUN DATE: 3/16/2011 TELEPHONE NO.: 505-992-3090

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
00000519	COMCENTREX, MAARS		OBSOLETE	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
00000523	SPARE KIT, MAARS	00318	OBSOLETE	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
IE000126	MONITOR, HP L1910 19" LCD	CNK8160QG6	BURNED OUT	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
IE000112	MONITOR, HP L1910 19" LCD	CNK81613KQ	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
IE000129	MONITOR, HP L1710, 17"	3CQ81747S4	BURNED OUT	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1860NX	39106010YA	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202211289	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	203214420	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202210978	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202211271	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202211272	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202211273	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202210962	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1850E	202210975	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1700	2400231GA	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1700	2400272GA	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1700	2400225GA	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1700	2400227GA	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 1760	32K02526GA	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 195NXM	88M19500NA	BROKEN	POOR	COMPUTER ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 195NXM	88M19498NA	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 195NXM	88M19819NA	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	MONITOR, NEC 71V	3Z502467YA	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	KEYBOARDS, 12 EACH		REPLACED	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00
NONE	PRINTER, OKI MICROLINE 420	AE49047847BO	BROKEN	POOR	ELECTRICAL ROOM	\$5.00

EXHIBIT A

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset:

Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division

Carlos Sison 03/30/11
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Ken R. Martini Date: 3/17/11
Department Director

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

Exhibit A

DEPARTMENT: Finance Division TELEPHONE NO.: 995-9531
 PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Pat Vassar

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
15366	Laptop, Gateway Solo 9500 XL	24283399	Obsolete	Fair	Finance	10.00
15930	Laptop, Gateway 2000 600YG2	0029991126	Obsolete	Fair	Finance	10.00
16603	Computer, Gateway E6300	0035473368	Broken	Poor	Finance	5.00
IE006936	Monitor, Gateway TFT1980PS - 19"	MW876BOH04737	Broken	Poor	Finance	5.00
IE006795	Monitor, Gateway TFT1980PS - 19"	MW876BOH00781	Broken	Poor	Finance	1.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division
 We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
 Division Director: Charles S. Sisk Date: 2/31/2011
 (IT Staff Signature Certification)

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: Public Works TELEPHONE NO.: 992-3019
 PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Andy Winn

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
FA#016423	Laptop, Gateway M675E Plus	034335361	Obsolete	Poor	Public Works	\$10.00
FA#11868	Monitor, Gateway, Model CPD15F23	NO SERIAL	Obsolete	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
FA#12601	Monitor, Gateway, Model CPD15F23	NO SERIAL	Obsolete	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
FA#015070	Monitor, Gateway, Model EV200A	17014E112220	Obsolete	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
FA#12048	Computer, Gateway <i>E3000</i>	534920	Obsolete	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
FA#11869	Computer, Gateway <i>PS-166</i>	5304920	Obsolete	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
NO TAG	Fax Machine, Brother Model MFC-7220	U61327K6J144361	Costly to Repair	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
NO TAG	Fax Machine, Samsung	8F61BAKP608414J	Costly to Repair	Poor	Public Works	\$5.00
NO TAG	Copying Machine, Kyocera, Model 5035	AMJ015380	Costly to Repair	Poor	Public Works	\$15.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division

 (IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
 Department Director: Robert [Signature] Date: 3-18-11

es

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

DEPARTMENT: TREASURER

Exhibit A

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: LEROY CATANACH

TELEPHONE NO.:

986-6251

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE006050	MONITOR, GATEWAY 15" FPD-1665	MKZ6550V01293	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	MONITOR, SAMSUNG 17" 740N	HA17HVGL401369M	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	MONITOR, GATEWAY 17" FPD-1730	MUL7003F0004686	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	MONITOR, GATEWAY 17" FPD-1730	MUL7003D0032679	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
IE006212	MONITOR, GATEWAY 17" FPD-1730	MUL7007A0118121	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
IE005211	MONITOR, GATEWAY 17" FPD-1730	MUL7007A0118125	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	MONITOR, GATEWAY 17" FPD-1730	MUL7003D0032694	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	CASH DRAWER, BLACK	N/A	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	5
12699	LAPTOP, GATEWAY 12" W/CASE SOLO 2100	BC096390091	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	10
N/A	SECURITY RECEIVER, GYR TLG-2124	300962	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
IE006037	MONITER, GATEWAY 15" 500G	MXZ6550V01272	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
IE006129	MONITER, SAMSUNG 17" 740N	HA17HVDLA00916H	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
FA016055	COMPUTER, GATEWAY MATXHRN MVD E4100	003811024	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	Keyboard, Gateway KB-2961	5D56407918B	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	10
N/A	Keyboard, Gateway SK-1510	C6666837	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	10
N/A	Keyboard, Gateway KB-0447	06B00502100D	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	10
N/A	Keyboard, Gateway KB-0447	08D029074D	NEW	GOOD	TREASURER/VAULT	10
N/A	PRINTER, EPSON P361A	E8BY267994	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	PRINTER, EPSON P361A	E8BY304636	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	PRINTER, EPSON P361A	E8BY304163	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
IE008322	PRINTER, EPSON P361A	E8BY360735	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	25
N/A	CAMERA, POLAROID	N/A	OLD	FAIR	TREASURER/VAULT	5

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
Condition of the Asset:
Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Charles Simons 03/30/11
(IT Staff Signature Certification)

Information Technology Division

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director: Elected Official Victor A. Montoya Date: 3-29-11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

DEPARTMENT: **ASD/IT**

PERSON COMPLETING

THE FORM: Carlos A. Sisneros & Ted F. Roybal

TELEPHONE NO.: 9545/9537

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
	Audio Technica	00 30477	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Computer Cables		bulk	unknown	Basement	5
	Computer Cantamex communication	52623	Obsolete	unknown	basement	5
	Computer card, Gateway mother bd PO 83620993	4000823	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	5
	Computer Fax, Brother Intellifax 2820	U61325D8N520888	defective	dead	Basement	5
	Computer network, Cisco 1600 Router	07985269	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Computer network, Cisco 1602 router	JMX0641J1CT	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016089	Computer network, Cisco 2600 Router	0107898362230513	obsolete		Basement	10
FA015019	Computer network, Cisco 3600 router	248426	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	10
FA015874	Computer network, Cisco 3700 router/switch	440037	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Computer network, Cisco ATA186 analog adaptor	INM070260L3	Obsolete	unknown	basement	10
	Computer network, Cisco blade	106675	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer network, Cisco blade	233820	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer network, Cisco blade	297128	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer network, Cisco blade	298218	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer network, Cisco blade	299578	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer network, Cisco fan blade	433629	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Computer network, Cisco MCS7800 server	6J25JHQ9M00Y	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
FA015624	Computer network, Catalyst 4000	FOX061500VT	obsolete	basement	Basement	75
FA016087	Computer network, Catalyst 4000	FOX062300PF	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	75
	Computer network, Catalyst 4500 chassis	800-08590-03 B0	Obsolete	backup	Basement	75
13759	Computer network, Catalyst 5500 blade		obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
12168	Computer network, Catalyst 5500 Chassis	69004030	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
13760	Computer network, Catalyst 5500 Mother bd		obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer network, Catalyst battery pack	FOX074706US	obsolete	backup	Basement	5
	Computer network, Catalyst WS-X4013 uplink	119648	obsolete	backup	Basement	20
	Computer network, Catalyst WS-X4013 uplink	119648	obsolete	backup	Basement	20
	Computer network, Centre COM 8126XL	S00C92930	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	10
	Computer network, Centre Comm MR820TR hub	SONE6124C	Obsolete	unknown	basement	10
12212	Computer part, ADIC 2000XT Tapedrive	4D167610	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Computer Power supply, Cherekee	KA25278	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Computer powersupplies, box of pdia		obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Computer Powersupply, Dell powage 750	6W5RIM41	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

Computers

IE005944	Computer, Gateway E2500S	0036795333	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE005959	Computer, Gateway E2500S	0036696321	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
FA015961	Computer, Gateway E4000	0030062621	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	10
FA016813	Computer, Gateway E4300	0036273097	obsolete	parts	desktop cabinet 3	1
FA016815	Computer, Gateway E4300	0036329018	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016862	Computer, Gateway E4500D	0035914724	obsolete	unknown	desktop front cabinet 2	10
FA016863	Computer, Gateway E4500D	0035914725	obsolete	unknown	desktop front cabinet 2	10
IE005936	Computer, Gateway E4500D	0036605130	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 2	10
IE005942	Computer, Gateway E4500S	0036696319	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
IE007953	Computer, Gateway E4620D	0004617482	defective	bad mother bd	desktop cabinet 3	10
IE007675	Computer, Gateway E4620D	0004617483	obsolete	good	Basement	10
FA015869	Computer, Gateway E6000	0031574963	obsolete	good	Basement	10
FA015945	Computer, Gateway E6000	0031574964	obsolete	good	desktop cabinet 3	10
FA015949	Computer, Gateway E6100	0031631897	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA015777	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032030638	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA015776	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032030640	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA015778	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032030641	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016038	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032950334	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016039	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032550335	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016040	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032550336	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016174	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032945984	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016155	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953242	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016160	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953247	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016164	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953249	Obsolete	good	Basement	10
FA016153	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953251	obsolete	good	Basement	10
FA016154	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953252	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016171	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953253	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016344	Computer, Gateway E6100	0032953258	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016190	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033220367	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016191	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033223110	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016192	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033814603	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016193	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033814604	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016195	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033814605	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016196	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033814606	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016197	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033814608	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016363	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033814609	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
		0033814610	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
		0033948297	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10

EXHIBIT A

Computers

FA016364	Computer, Gateway E6100	0033948298	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016362	Computer, Gateway E6100	0034049942	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016380	Computer, Gateway E6100	0034100985	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
FA016381	Computer, Gateway E6100	0034100987	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
IE005516	Computer, Gateway E6100	0034134892	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
11856	Computer, Gateway P5-166 computer	0034601338	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Computer, Netapp F825	5304882	obsolete	unknown	Basement	15
FA015031	Computer, NETapp file shares	1036926	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
FA015578	Computer, NETapp file shares	NA000000026486	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Computer, Petro Vend K800 fuel site controller	NA0000000161253	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
12162	Computer, Sun Microsystems ultra	0566423	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
13099	Computer, Sun Microsystems ultra 5	749FC4DB	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
13409	Computer, Sun Microsystems ultra 5	FW82812158	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Computer, Symantec 5420	FW91810515	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Computer, Netapp mother bd	FLX0414050193	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Copier, Canon Image class MF6530 copier	02A9362	obsolete	unknown	Basement	10
	Hub, 3 Com	SLX64135	obsolete	dead	Basement	10
	Hub, Boca 10 base T concentrator	773F190155087	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Hub, Office connect ethernet hub 4	none	Obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Hub, Super stack 3com	7H4F028790	Obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	keyboards	7D9F025121	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	keyboards		obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE009212	Laptop, Dell PPL	ZY08W	defective	dead	Basement	5
FA015927	Laptop, Gateway 600YG2	0030007894	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 4	5
FA015670	Laptop, Gateway 600YGR	0027239870	obsolete	bad mother bd	desktop cabinet 4	5
	Laptop, Gateway M320XL	0035087653	defective	defective	desktop cabinet 4	5
FA016349	Laptop, Gateway M675	0033271147	defective	bad mother bd	desktop cabinet 4	5
FA016998	Laptop, Sony PC-G-4G11	28240833 3013453	obsolete	obsolete	desktop cabinet 3	5
IE006814	Laptop, Sony Vaio laptop	J002HX1K6	obsolete	obsolete	Jaison	5
	Master piece console	P960946004	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	mice		obsolete	unknown	box 15	1
	MISC, White Metal box Superior Modular products		obsolete	obsolete	Basement	1
	Modern, Inlucure SX/CH Chssie	1103403904	obsolete	dead	Basement	5
	Monitor stands		obsolete		Basement	1
	Monitor, Comia 17" Monitor	MP02081791	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5
IE006055	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01169	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE006043	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01267	obsolete	good	Basement	5
IE006049	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01269	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01285	obsolete	unknown	desktop front cabinet 2	5
IE006062	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01286	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5

EXHIBIT A

IE006048	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01296	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE006047	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 01304	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE005992	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 15137	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE005990	Monitor, Gateway 15"	MZK65 50V 15554	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE005864	Monitor, Gateway 17"	ME555 90L 02477	obsolete	unknown	Jeoff	5
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	ME555 90L 02484	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE006194	Monitor, Gateway 17"	ME555 90L 02493	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	ME555 90L 02505	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MG46A 70P 10667	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MGM71 70P 08332	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MGM73 70P 20472	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MGM73 70P 20473	obsolete	defective	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MRB54 50C 00621	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MUL7006C0007118	Obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5
IE005194	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MUL7007A0108393	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE005213	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MUL7007A0118114	obsolete	defective	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MW674 B0N 09054	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE007200	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MW674 B0N 09105	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet shelf	5
IE007137	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MW674 B0N 11254	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5
IE007141	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MW674 B0N 11261	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE005196	Monitor, Gateway 17"	QS7333701455C	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	QS7333704587C	obsolete	unknown	spare sys anlysis bokum 3	5
	Monitor, Gateway 17"	TL819A417029757	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 4	5
IE004653	Monitor, Gateway 18"	MUL8007C0024689	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE004655	Monitor, Gateway 18"	MUL8007C0024697	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE004878	Monitor, Gateway 18"	MUL8009A0000527	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 18"	TL519A337008308	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE005946	Monitor, Gateway 19"	MPI5C 50H 02725	obsolete	unknown	Jeoff	5
IE005949	Monitor, Gateway 19"	MPI5C 50H 03007	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5
	Monitor, Gateway 19"	MRD55 50H 00731	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 1	5
IE005300	Monitor, Gateway 19"	MUL9002D0005109	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	5
IE006796	Monitor, Gateway 19"	MW876 B0H 00530	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 2	5
IE006797	Monitor, Gateway 19"	MW876 B0H 00754	obsolete	unknown	Jaison	5
	Monitor, Gateway 19"	TL719A326003125	obsolete	unknown	desktop cabinet 4	5
IE004599	Monitor, Gateway 20"	KUL2008R0001950	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 20"	KUL2008R0001953	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE004601	Monitor, Gateway 20"	KUL2008R0001954	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE004598	Monitor, Gateway 20"	KUL2008R0002030	obsolete	unknown	Jeoff	5
IE007086	Monitor, Gateway 21"	MQ175 50N 00576	obsolete	unknown	Jeoff	5
IE007090	Monitor, Gateway 21"	MQ175 50N 00585	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, Gateway 21"	MQ177 50N 00856	defective	dead	Basement	1

EXHIBIT A

Computers

	Monitor, Gateway 21"	MQ177 50N 00888	defective	dead	Basement	1
IE005993	Monitor, Gateway 21"	MWV66 50N 01897	defective	damaged	Basement	1
IE006463	Monitor, Gateway 17"	MW671 BON 01286	obsolete	unknown	desktop front cabinet 2	5
12364	Monitor, NEC 15"	8100169CA	obsolete	obsolete	desktop cabinet 4	5
	Monitor, Samsung 21" Monitor	BR21HCHL206071Y	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Monitor, View Sonic VX900	A24030704833	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Motorola speaker		obsolete	dead	box phone_2011_05	
	Multiplexer, ADV1487-16-30	145003200150	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
13313	NCD	1198AC016444	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE005500	PDA, Dell	CN0U7384701664AIA4BZ	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE005502	PDA, Dell	CN0U7384701664AIA4DN	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	PDA, Dell	CN0U7384701665UJA3A1	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE003941	PDA, Palm M505	LOJH16D17673	obsolete	good	Basement	1
	Pocket PC, Dell G7L1-001	CN-0d2704-65890-487-00BQ	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Pocket PC, Dell G7L1-001	CN-0b2704-65890-488-00FI	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Pocket PC, Dell G7L1-001	CN-0b2704-65890-488-00UJ	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Power cords		obsolete	good	box 14	1
	Power supplies, AC adaptors/accessories		obsolete	unknown	box 12	1
	Power Supply, Cisco	CNP1HEHCCAA pair 1	obsolete	dead	Basement	5
	Power Supply, Cisco	CNP1HEHCCAA pair 2	obsolete	dead	Basement	5
	Powersupplies ac adaptors		obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Powersupplies, graphic cards		defective	dead	Basement	1
	Powersupplies/Video cards/ram/speakers		obsolete	unknown	box 13	1
	Powersupply	HIC1139C24S	defective	dead	Basement	1
	Printer, Deskjet 890C	SG62K1H05BDV	obsolete	obsolete	Jaison	5
	Printer, Epson Action Laser 1500	1DS0260268	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE004094	Printer, HP 1200 LaserJet	CNB1H10847	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Printer, HP Jet direct	SG72910829	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Printer, HP LaserJet 1012	CNFB878404	obsolete	dead	Basement	5
FA015100	Printer, HP laserJet 3200	USAH015846	defective	dead	Basement	5
11080	Printer, HP laserJet 5P	USHB021796	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Printer, HP LaserJet P1006	VND3219990	defective	dead	Basement	5
	Printer, Samsung ML-2551N	B1AY500110X	defective	dead	Basement	5
	Scanner, HP scanJet 7800	CN61KA109C04K4	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Scanner, HP scanJet XPA top piece only	TW0C137528	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Server rack		obsolete		Basement	5
FA015572	Server, Gateway 7450R	0025540136	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	Server, Gateway 8450R	0023316562	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
FA016199	Server, Gateway 860 NAS	1100254497	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
FA015474	Server, Sun blade 100	FT1530262	obsolete	unknown	Basement	75
	TV, Durabrand 13" TV DU1301	055150914902	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5

EXHIBIT A

Computers

	TV, Emerson 13" TV EWC1304	V40465224EWC1304	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
	Type writer, IBM	7430010432280	obsolete	unknown	Basement	5
IE004181	UPS battery backup	AS0146210649	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS battery backup	AS0233210406	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS battery backup	AS0313211160	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS battery backup	NS0632031927	obsolete	omar	Basement	1
	UPS battery backup	QB0028112462	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS, APC 500 UPS	PB0326122421	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS, APC Pro 650 UPS	QB0311232111	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS, APC Smart UPS 1000	NB0032163270	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS, APC Smart UPS 1000	WS0007010132	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	UPS, APC Smart UPS 1000	WS0010010640	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE005304	UPS, APC UPS	PB0343122829	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE005302	UPS, APC UPS	PB0405130384	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
IE005253	UPS, APC UPS	PB0405131775	obsolete	unknown	Basement	1
	Video multiplexer, Burne TC8275	001083	obsolete	dead	Basement	5

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset

Obsolete; excessive cost to repair, and, working but not currently used by the department
 Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

[Signature]
 (IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director: *[Signature]* Date: 3/25/11

EXHIBIT A

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES**

DEPARTMENT: **ASD/IT**

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Carlos A. Sisneros & Ted F. Roybal

TELEPHONE NO.: 9545/9537

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
	Computer network, Cisco ATA 186 Analog phone	INM070260LX	obsolete	obsolete	Basement	10
	Phone teletype, Ultratech Minicom IV	M4-50784	obsolete	dead	box phone_2011_05	
	Phone, ADC kentrox telephone equipment	204223	Obsolete	unknown	basement	1
	Phone, At&T 812 Phone	CS6500U33A	Obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	1
	Phone, At&T 812 Phone	MISSING	Obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	1
	Phone, Motorola Series 90	448CHL0318	obsolete	dead	box phone_2011_05	
	Phone, Northern Telecom phone	101886355	obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Northern Telecom phone	102075729	obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Northern Telecom phone	102077328	obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Northern Telecom phone	102100084	obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Northern Telecom phone	102100085	obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Panasonic Phone	8JBM135823	Obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Quest Phone	52 6 01886	Obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Quest Phone	52 6 01888	Obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Sony Phone	1067575	Obsolete	unknown	box phone_2011_05	5
	Phone, Trillium Panther 1032	901000907	obsolete	dead	box phone_2011_05	5

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Information Technology Division

[Signature]
 (IT Staff Signature Certification)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *[Signature]*

Date: 3/25/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR 2011
ALL OTHER ASSETS

DEPARTMENT: CORRECTIONS ADF


PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: SGT. J. GARCIA

TELEPHONE NO.: 428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
NONE	Drill, Makita	3130A	Not repairable	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Drill, Makita	3127A	Not repairable	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Charger, Battery, Makita	102502	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Charger, Battery, Makita	12598	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Charger, Battery, Makita	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Table, Ping-pong, Kettler	None	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$20.00
NONE	Keyboard, BTC	M93306948	Obsolete	Fair	ADF	\$0.01
FA0554	Vital sign Machine, Welch Allen	200518131	Excessive cost to repair	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Camera, PSA	N1523	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Camera switch, ATV	65442	Obsolete	Good	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Camera, Panasonic	74R02064	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Scanner Mega, Garrett	None	Parted out	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
IE003528	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
IE003529	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
IE003599	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
IE003600	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Breathing apparatus Tank, Scott	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director 

Date: 4/28/11

CS 03/30/11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
FY 2011**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT CORRECTIONS ADF

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: SGT. J. GARCIA

TELEPHONE NO.: 428-1700

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
None	Amplifier, Montgomery Tech.	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
None	Amplifier, Montgomery Tech.	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
None	Amplifier, Montgomery Tech.	None	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
None	Video quad, Phillips	40668	Obsolete	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
None	lawn mower, Craftsman	051007M012075	Engine blown	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
None	lawn mower, Poylan	120407M004500	Engine blown	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
RC033	Weed eater, Poylan	120407M004436	Parted out	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
RC002	Weed eater, Hasquvana	None	Parted out	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
RC001	Weed eater, Hasquvana	None	Parted out	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Desk, wood	None	Not needed	Poor	ADF	\$15.00
NONE	Chair, FDL	None	Condition	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Shredder, paper, Activa	None	Not working	Poor	ADF	\$0.01
NONE	Desk, wood	None	Condition	Poor	ADF	\$1.00
NONE	Stool, with Wheels, Enocha	None	Condition	Poor	ADF	\$0.01

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

Fleet Maintenance Approval / Certification: _____

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director [Signature] Date: 4/28/11

County Manager _____ Date: _____

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
ALL OTHER ASSETS**

EXHIBIT A

DEPARTMENT: Corrections ADF

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Serlio Vigil

TELEPHONE NO x. 3868

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE 0959	Ultrasonic Dental cleaner, Patterson	03296-472733	heating element not repairable	damaged-poor.	ADF	\$1.00
IE 104	Projector, Apollo overhead	98025418	not working	damaged-poor	ADF	\$1.00
FA 0222	Battery Maintenance System, Motorola	MO15285	Obsolete	Very good	ADF	\$100.00
FA 0231	Handheld radio, Motorola	402AYEA9942	not working	damaged-poor	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Motorola Desktop (15 Each)	none	Obsolete	New in boxes	ADF	\$10.00
None	Battery Charger Motorola Desktop (19 Each)	none	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$2.00
None	Battery Charger Motorola Multi-Charge (6 each)	none	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$5.00
None	Battery Charger Icom Multi-Charger	5767	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$5.00
None	Battery Charger Icom Multi-Charger	5766	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$5.00
None	Battery Charger Icom Multi-Charger	8101	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$5.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-146 (6 each)	none	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-144 (9 each)	none	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	294525	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	294529	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	144245	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	144244	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	144249	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	144574	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00
None	Battery Charger Icom BC-119	316189	Obsolete	Used - Fair	ADF	\$1.00

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset: Obsolete, excessive cost to repair and, working but not currently used by the department
Damaged, destroyed, working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge

Department Director: [Signature] Date 3-22-11

ES 03/30/11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM
ALL OTHER ASSETS**

Exhibit A

DEPARTMENT: Corrections - YDP

PERSON COMPLETING
THE FORM: Serfo Vigil

TELEPHONE NO.: 428-3868

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE00788	Vertex Standard handheld radio	5E981981	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005294	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840777	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005269	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840749	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005297	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840771	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005205	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840755	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005279	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840746	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005206	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840655	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005295	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840770	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005281	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840651	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005204	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840742	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005260	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840657	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005261	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840741	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005294	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840758	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005280	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840747	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005266	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840744	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005271	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840776	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005275	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840769	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005208	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840775	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005274	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840765	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director *[Signature]*

Date: 3/17/11

ES 03/30/11

EXHIBIT A

SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR
ALL OTHER ASSETS

DEPARTMENT: Corrections - YDP

PERSON COMPLETING THE FORM: Serfo Vigil

TELEPHONE NO.: 428-3868

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
IE005255	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840649	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005267	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840752	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005257	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840753	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005222	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840648	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005273	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840764	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005262	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840652	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE005272	Vertex Standard handheld radio	41840763	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE0794	Vertex Standard handheld radio	5E981983	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE0334	Vertex Standard handheld radio	9E101911	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
IE0469	ICOM handheld radio	76016	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
FA0286	Motorola handheld radio	402AXL0429	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
FA0305	Motorola handheld radio	402AXL0537	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
no tag	Motorola handheld radio	402AXL0357	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
no tag	Motorola handheld radio	402AXL0308	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
no tag	ICOM handheld radio	64463	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
no tag	Vertex Standard handheld radio	9E101913	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01
no tag	Vertex Standard handheld radio	9E101908	excessive cost to repair	damaged	YDP Storage shed	0.01

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair, and, working but not currently used by the department
Condition of the Asset: Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.

Department Director 

Date: 3/17/11

**SANTA FE COUNTY
FIXED ASSETS SURPLUS FORM - FISCAL YEAR 2011
ALL OTHER ASSETS**

DEPARTMENT: CSD / RECC

EXHIBIT A

PERSON COMPLETING
THE FORM: NANCY CALHOUN

DATE: 3/16/2011

TELEPHONE NO.: 505-992-3090

TAG NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF FIXED ASSET	SERIAL NO.	REASON FOR SURPLUS	CONDITION OF FIXED ASSET	LOCATION OF FIXED ASSET	ESTIMATED VALUE
RECCDON2	FURNITURE & FIXTURES/PER JPA	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
500	WORKSTATION, CENTRACOM	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
501	WORKSTATION, CENTRACOM	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
502	WORKSTATION, CENTRACOM	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
503	WORKSTATION, CENTRACOM	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
504	WORKSTATION, CENTRACOM	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
505	WORKSTATION, CENTRACOM	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
506	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
507	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
508	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
509	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
510	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
511	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
512	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
513	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
514	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
515	WORKSTATION, VESTA/CAD	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
530	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
531	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
532	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
533	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
534	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
535	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
536	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
537	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
538	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
539	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
540	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none	OBSOLETE/REPLACED	DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00

Exhibit A

541	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
542	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
543	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
544	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
545	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
546	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
547	WORKSTATION, FUSION 90	none		DISMANTLED	FIRE STORAGE	\$5.00
Please note all the above items are listed under asset #RECCDON2 on our Inventory Certification						
Tags may not all be on the furniture. These tag numbers were the ones assigned to these items						

NOTE: Possible Reasons for Surplus:
 Condition of the Asset: Obsolete; excessive cost to repair; and, working but not currently used by the department
 Damaged; destroyed; working (note the condition-good, fair, or poor)

We hereby certify that the assets noted above have been examined and the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge.
 Department Director *Ken R. Masterson* 3/17/11


Robert A. Garcia
Sheriff
986-2455
ragarcia@santafecounty.org



Ron E. Madrid
Undersheriff
986-2455
rmadrid@santafecounty.org

35 Camino Justicia – Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508

MEMORANDUM

To: Board of County Commissioners
Fr: Ron Madrid/Undersheriff 
Date: March 31, 2011
Re: Capital Purchase/Vehicles – Fleet

Issue:

The Sheriff's Office is requesting Board of County Commission approval to purchase ten (10) fleet vehicles from both Rich Ford and Reliable Chevrolet utilizing the State of New Mexico statewide price agreements. These vehicle purchases require the approval of the Board of County Commissioners because the cost of the vehicles exceeds the \$100,000 threshold established by Santa Fe County Ordinance 2010-8. These vehicles will replace 10 out of 33 vehicles of the Sheriff's fleet that are listed in poor condition and present a safety issue for our staff.

The recommended vehicle purchases include 8 patrol units and 2 animal control units. Additionally, the new vehicles will enable the Sheriff's Office to provide public safety services to our communities and citizens of Santa Fe County.

Summary:

The Sheriff's Office requests approval to purchase ten vehicles totaling \$222,024 utilizing the NM Statewide Price Agreements. Funding is available and already allocated for the replacement of fleet via the FY 2011 capital package set-aside.




Robert A. Garcia
Sheriff
986-2455
ragarcia@santafecounty.org

Ron E. Madrid
Undersheriff
986-2455
rmadrid@santafecounty.org

35 Camino Justicia – Santa Fe, New Mexico 87508

MEMORANDUM

To: Board of County Commissioners
Fr: Ron Madrid / Undersheriff 
Date: March 31, 2011
Re: Capital Purchase / Vehicles – Fleet

Dear Board of Commissioners –

It is requested that a purchase order to the following automobile vendors be approved:

Rich Ford

6 2011 Crown Victoria's @ \$127,998.00
Per NMSPA# 90-000-00-00012 (exp 10/22/11)
Patrol Units

Rich Ford

(2) 2011 Ford F-150 (4x4) @ \$37,798.00
Per NMSPA#00-00-00-00033 (exp 5/31/11)
Animal Control Units

Reliable Chevrolet

(2) 2011 Chevy Tahoe's (4x4) @ \$56,228.00
Per NMSPA# 90-000-00-00012 (exp 10/23/11)
Patrol Units

These vehicles will replace 10 out of the 33 vehicles of the Sheriff's fleet that are listed in poor condition and may become a safety issue for our commissioned staff and cause limitations in providing public safety services to our communities and the citizens of Santa Fe County.

Your consideration on this matter is greatly appreciated. Thank you.

Fiscal Year 2012 Budget Request

Department: Sheriff's Office										FY 2012 VEHICLES	
Division / Program: Public Safety										VEHICLE	
Address / Location: 35 Camino Justicia										CAPITAL	
Cost Center: 246-1201-424										80-09	
Contact & Phone: Ron Madrid - Undersheriff (505) 986-2455											
LICENSE NO	MODEL YEAR	TYPE OF VEHICLE ^A	PURPOSE ^A	HOME yes/no	MAKE / MODEL	ASSIGNED DRIVER	FEB 10 ODOMETER	CONDITION*	NEW / REPLACE		
G38720	1988	LARGE TRUCK	SWAT	NO	FORD / C-6000		67,447	FAIR			
G76436	2009	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	JOSH LUCERO	67,762	GOOD			
JWD 986	2008	SUV	U/C UNIT	YES	DODGE / DURANGO	EDDIE WEBB JR	63,098	GOOD			
G58493	2004	SUV	PATROL	YES	DODGE / DURANGO		232,135	POOR	REPLACE		\$34,800*
KHK 504	2008	SUV	ADMIN	YES	DODGE / DURANGO	RON MADRID	77,460	GOOD			
G73597	2008	SUV	PATROL	YES	DODGE / DURANGO		54,038	GOOD			
G60071	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		144,916	POOR	REPLACE		\$29,500*
G76419	2008	SEDAN	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	DIEGO LUCERO	37,420	GOOD			
G76420	2009	SEDAN	PATROL/DWI	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	JB NISSEN	85,906	GOOD			
G79203	2010	SEDAN	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	TRACY BACA	28,475	GOOD			
G73596	2008	SUV	PATROL	YES	DODGE / DURANGO	NATHAN SEGURA	50,511	GOOD			
014 PMP	2008	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	JOSH DAVID	49,604	GOOD			
G52191	2002	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN YIC		156,242	POOR	REPLACE		\$29,500*
G79201	2010	TRUCK	ANIMAL CONTROL	YES	FORD / F-150	PAUL PORTILLO	32,100	GOOD			
G70246	2007	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		77,732	GOOD			
G63101	2006	VAN	DWI	NO	FORD / E-VAN		2,056	GOOD			
G79202	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	RONALD CROW	10,000	GOOD			
G60066	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		159,229	POOR	REPLACE		\$29,500*
G39869	1999	VAN	TRANSPORT	NO	FORD / E-VAN			FAIR			
G65473	2006	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	ERIC STEEN	157,871	POOR	REPLACE		\$29,500*
G60068	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		178,895	POOR	REPLACE		\$29,500*
G60067	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	BRIAN MARKLEY	154,019	POOR	REPLACE		\$29,500*
G76421	2009	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		41,947	GOOD			
G60062	2005	SEDAN	SHOW UNIT	NO	CHEVY / IMPALA		35,193	GOOD			
G76435	2009	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	ISAJAH BRYCE	36,951	GOOD			
G53590	2002	TRAILER	DWI TRAILER	NO	VAN / TRAILER			GOOD			
G65476	2006	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA			POOR			\$29,500*
G76434	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	BILL RITCH	28,000	GOOD			
G60064	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA			POOR			\$29,500*
187 PXX	2008	SEDAN	ADMIN	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	ROBERT GARCIA	18,600	GOOD			
720 PLA	2008	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	MARYVN JARAMILLO	51,193	GOOD			
G79209	2010	SEDAN	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	STEPHEN ORR	29,196	GOOD			
393-PWX	2010	SEDAN	UC/UNIT	YES	CHEVY/IMPALA	JAMES YEAGER	13,165	GOOD			
G65474	2006	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	STEPHANIE CHAMPLIN	115,426	FAIR			
G58492	2004	SUV	PATROL	YES	DODGE / DURANGO		233,026	POOR	REPLACE		\$34,800*
G70204	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY/IMPALA	RAFAEL RODRIGUEZ	20,847	GOOD			
G65478	2006	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	GABE ORTIZ	147,105	FAIR			
G63800	2010	TRUCK	ANIMAL CONTROL	YES	FORD/F-150	MIGUEL TAPIA	20,620	GOOD			

45	G57345	2004	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA			127,927	POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
46	G74439	2008	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	ALFRED ARANA		31,300	GOOD		
47	G77360	2009	SUV	PATROL	YES	FORD / EXPLORER	FRED SUAZO		29,081	GOOD		
48	G61269	2005	TRUCK	ANIMAL CONTROL	YES	FORD / F-250	JASON APODGOCA			POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
49	G54910	2003	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		185,069		POOR	REPLACE	
50	G76433	2009	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	BEN CHAVEZ		121,130	FAIR		
51	G52184	2002	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		119,552		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
52												
53	G50327	2002	TRUCK	ANIMAL CONTROL	YES	FORD / F-250		162,825		POOR	REPLACE	\$45,800*
54	G57248	2004	VAN	TRANSPORT	NO	CHEVY / 3500				GOOD		
55	G79208	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY/IMPALA	LINDA ORTIZ		20,546	GOOD		
56	G54917	2003	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD/CRN VIC		201,699		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
57	G09599	1993	TRAILER	DWI TRAILER	NO	WELLS / CARGO				GOOD		
58	G67795	2007	TRUCK	ANIMAL CONTROL	YES	CHEVY / COLORADO	AUDREY VELASCO		41,744	GOOD		
59	G60060	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		175,729		FAIR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
60	G74440	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	VANESSA HAYES		77,000	GOOD		
61	G55023	2003	SEDAN	PATROL / RESERVE	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		121,100		FAIR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
62												
63												
64	G70248	2007	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	RACHEL WEBER		17,000	GOOD		
65	G77361	2009	SUV	PATROL	YES	FORD / EXPLORER	RONALDO ULIBARRI		56,980	GOOD		
66	188 MZB	2002	SUV	FLEET	YES	DODGE / DURANGO	LISA DOFFLEMYER		153,327	FAIR		
67	G74441	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	RICHARD HILDERBRAND		81,448	GOOD		
68	918 NZN	2006	SUV	U/C UNIT	YES	FORD / EXPLORER	GABE GONZALES		99,672	FAIR		
69	KAD 113	2006	SUV	U/C UNIT	YES	FORD / EXPLORER	ANDREW QUINNNTANA		117,787	FAIR		
70												
71												
72	G76432	2009	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	JOE MC LAUGHLIN		42,594	GOOD		
73	G65940	2006	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	BRIAN BRANDLE		158,891	FAIR		
74	G74442	2008	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	ANTHONY MAEZ		30,391	GOOD		
75												
76	G55172	2003	SUV	PATROL / RESERVE	YES	DODGE / DURANGO		145,000		POOR	REPLACE	\$34,800*
77	G59494	2004	SUV	PATROL	YES	DODGE / DURANGO		125,863		FAIR	REPLACE	\$34,800*
78	G57247	2004	TRUCK	ANIMAL CONTROL	YES	FORD / F-250	ISAIAH ANAYA		158,074	POOR	REPLACE	\$45,800*
79	G76431	2008	SEDAN	PATROL / DWI	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	LEONARD MARTINEZ		75,000	GOOD		
80	G54913	2003	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		171,504		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
81	G70240	2007	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	SEANA MALONEY		116,590	FAIR		
82	G54916	2003	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		161,694		POOR		\$29,500
83	G79207	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY/IMPALA	JEREMY GARCIA		12,680	GOOD		
84	G57250	2004	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		137,487		POOR		\$29,500
85												
86												
87	G76451	2009	SEDAN	TRANSPORTS	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	VERNON NARANJO		62,859	GOOD		
88												
89	G74443	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	MIKE POST		82,305	GOOD		
90	G54912	2003	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		198,604		POOR		\$29,500*
91	G52183	2002	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / GRN VIC		145,506		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
92	G79212	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHRYVY IMPALA	CLIFTON COLEMAN		10,725	GOOD		
93	G74444	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	PAUL PRENTICE		49,020	GOOD		
94	G60072	2005	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		158,041		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
95	G52182	2002	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		161,465		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
96	G58433	1999	SEDAN	ADMIN	YES	FORD / CRN VIC	IVAN PATO / RESERVE		128,966	FAIR		
97	806 NJA	2004	SUV	REG 3	YES	DODGE / DURANGO	MARK ESPARSEN		189,000	POOR	REPLACE	\$34,800*
98	G70244	2007	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA		70,000		GOOD		
99	G70558	2007	SUV	PATROL	YES	DODGE / DURANGO	DANIEL CHAVEZ		85,000	GOOD		
100	G57251	2004	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		123,617		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
101	G74445	2008	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	LEONARD ROMERO		32,888	GOOD		
102	G55024	2003	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		130,488		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
103	G57253	2004	SEDAN	PATROL	YES	FORD / CRN VIC		98,960		POOR	REPLACE	\$29,500*
104												
105	044 PFS	2007	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	YES	CHEVY / IMPALA	FABIAN TRUJILLO		75,000	GOOD		

Vehicle ID	Year	Make/Model	Unit Type	Equipment	Condition	Value	Notes
G74446	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	70,000	GOOD
G70243	2007	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	93,586	GOOD
G57275	2004	SEDAN	TRANSPORT	CHEVY / CAMERO	NO	77,653	GOOD
G51329	2002	SPORTS CAR	SHOW UNIT	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	32,523	GOOD
G76422	2009	SEDAN	PATROL	DODGE / STRATUS	YES	161,764	REPLACE
601MZZ	2002	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	CHEVY / IMPALA	NO	137,241	FAIR
505NJB	2004	SEDAN	FORENSIC COMPUTER	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	112,750	FAIR
808 NJA	2004	SEDAN	EVIDENCE	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	5,871	FAIR
G79247	1989	ARMORED UNIT	SWAT	KOVATCH / TRUCK	NO	89,316	GOOD
JRB 913	2007	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	116,263	FAIR
FHM 794	2004	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	117,515	FAIR
G22740	1996	SUV	DWI TRUCK	FORD / BRONCO	NO		
G74438	2008	SEDAN	TRANSPORTS	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	85,582	GOOD
JRD 037	2007	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	53,039	GOOD
G74437	2008	SEDAN	PATROL / DWI	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES		REPLACE
G79213	2010	SEDAN	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	6,683	GOOD
G79210	2010	SEDAN	TRANSPORTS	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	29,885	GOOD
G34457	2002	TRAILER	ANIMAL CONTROL	GM / STOCK TRL	NO		FAIR
212 IMZC	2002	SEDAN	PAPER-RUN	DODGE / STRATUS	NO	117,936	REPLACE
G76100	2002	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	DODGE / STRATUS	YES	104,199	FAIR
G70557	2007	SUV	PATROL	DODGE / DURANGO	YES	118,700	FAIR
999 MVS	2002	SUV	U/C UNIT	DODGE / DURANGO	YES	138,561	REPLACE
G44822	2000	VAN	CRIME SCENE VAN	FORD / E-350	NO	4,053	GOOD
G47157	2001	SEDAN	PATROL	FORD / GRN V/C	YES		REPLACE
G74435	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	99,000	GOOD
G74434	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	57,986	GOOD
G79214	2010	SEDAN	COMMUNITY SUPPORT	RONNIE VIARREAL	YES	28,204	GOOD
G70555	2007	SUV	PATROL	DODGE / DURANGO	YES	105,662	GOOD
G74433	2008	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	99,800	GOOD
G50383	2002	SUV	PATROL	DODGE / DURANGO	YES	191,506	REPLACE
G50384	2002	SUV	PATROL	DODGE / DURANGO	YES	146,415	REPLACE
G78423	2009	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	53,076	GOOD
KXS 402	2009	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	RICAHARD SISNEROS	YES	46,135	GOOD
004 PMP	2009	SEDAN	ADMIN	KEN JOHNSON	YES	29,000	GOOD
KXR 719	2009	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	DEBRA ANAYA	YES	22,950	GOOD
G77359	2009	SUV	PATROL	RAYMOND VILLANUEVA	YES	71,309	GOOD
G77747	2009	TRUCK	TRANSPORT	GMC / TCS500	NO	2,466	GOOD
G79211	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	25,980	GOOD
G79206	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	CHEVY / IMPALA	YES	37,143	GOOD
G79205	2010	SEDAN	PATROL	MIKE MARTINEZ	YES	25,248	GOOD
374 PWX	2010	SEDAN	U/C UNIT	DAVID JARAMILLO	YES	18,425	GOOD
049 MFR	2010	SUV	ADMIN	ROBERT RIGGS	YES	38,000	GOOD
G81151	2010	SUV	PATROL	TIMETO BENAVIDEZ	YES	21,370	GOOD
G81152	2010	SUV	PATROL	KURT WHYTE	YES	14,518	GOOD
629 NIKH	2008	TRUCK	U/C UNIT	GMC / SIERRA	NO		GOOD
G82242	2011	LARGE TRUCK	SWAT	INTERNATIONAL	NO	1,500	GOOD
						TOTAL FY	2012
							\$1,196,000

* Vehicle Condition: Good, Fair, Poor

e.g. sedan, pickup, van, trailer, grader, fire, ambulance

** e.g. appraisal, patrol, inmate trans, staff trans, road work, fire, medical

* includes all emergency equipment, radio, and jotto desk for MDT



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
PURCHASING DIVISION

Awarded Vendor 2 Vendors
Telephone No.

**Price Agreement
Amendment**

Price Agreement Number: 90-000-00-00012

Price Agreement Amendment No.: A004

Term: October 24, 2009 - October 23, 2010

Ship To: All State of New Mexico Agencies, Commissions, Institutions, Political sub-Divisions and local public bodies allowed by law.
Invoice: As requested by user

Procurement Specialist: Sandra Lujan

Telephone No.: (505) 827-0242

Commodity: Automotive Vehicles, Police Pursuit

This Price Agreement Amendment is to be attached to the respective Price Agreement and become a part thereof.

This Amendment is issued to reflect the following effective immediately.

Extend Price Agreement for the following vendors for 1 additional year under the same price, terms and conditions. Term: October 24, 2010 thru October 23, 2011.

(AA) 0000049313
Reliable Chevrolet

(AB) 0000046112
Rich Ford Sales

Except as modified by this Amendment, the provisions of the Price Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

Accepted for the State of New Mexico

Sandra Lujan
New Mexico State Purchasing Agent

Date: 09/30/10

sl

ITEM	QTY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	VENDOR/PRICE
2	400	EACH	VEHICLE, FOUR DOOR SEDAN, REAR WHEEL DRIVE, FULL SIZE	

(AB) BASE COST, PER UNIT, FOB DEALER'S PLACE OF BUSINESS (AB) \$21,333.00

(AB) NET COST, PER UNIT, FOB, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO (AB) NO CHARGE

(AB) MODEL: 2009 CROWN VICTORIA POLICE INTERCEPTOR

MAKE: FORD

ENGINE: 4.6 L, V-8 FLEX FUEL E-85 250 HP

MPG: 16 CITY 24 HWY GASOLINE - 12 CITY 17 HWY E-85

VENDOR TO INDICATE FINAL ORDER ACCEPTANCE DATE
END OF MAY 2009 TENTATIVE

FINAL ORDER ACCEPTANCE DATE MAY BE A CONSIDERATION IN DETERMINING AWARD

MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS:

WHEEL BASE: NOT LESS THAN ONE HUNDRED FOURTEEN (114.0) INCHES.

ALTERNATOR: MINIMUM 200 AMP, (HIGH-OUTPUT ALTERNATOR).

BATTERY: 12 VOLT, HEAVY DUTY, 800 COLD CRANKING AMP MINIMUM.

BRAKES: FOUR WHEEL DISC ANTILOCK BRAKES WITH ALL SPEED TRACTION CONTROL. HEAVY DUTY POLICE, BRAKES MUST BE ANTI-LOCK (ABS). WARNING LIGHT IN DASH SHALL INDICATE WHEN PARKING BRAKE IS ENGAGED.

ENGINE: ENGINE OFFERED SHALL BE A V-8 DESIGN. ENGINE MUST BE E-85 OR FLEX FUEL.

SHOCK ABSORBERS: HEAVY DUTY DOUBLE ACTION TYPE, FRONT AND REAR, VALVES TO GIVE THE VEHICLE MAXIMUM ROAD ABILITY WHEN OPERATING OVER ROUGH ROADS AND DURING CORNERING AT HIGH SPEEDS.

SEATS: FRONT BUCKET SEATS - SHALL BE MANUFACTURER'S POLICE VEHICLE HEAVY DUTY CLOTH FRONT BUCKET SEATS WITH MANUAL LUMBAR SUPPORT, DESIGNED TO WITHSTAND DAILY POLICE USE. SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH HEAVY-DUTY SPRINGS AND BACKREST SUPPORTS. REAR SHALL BE VINYL SEATS AND VINYL FLOORING.

WHEELS: A: TO BE EIGHTEEN (18) INCHES IN DIAMETER.

B: RIM AND SPIDER TO BE OF HEAVIER GAUGE METAL THAN USED IN STANDARD PRODUCTION.

C: HUB COVER SHALL BE DESIGNED TO REMAIN TIGHTLY ATTACHED TO THE WHEEL DURING HIGH SPEED POLICE PURSUIT SERVICE.

ARMRESTS: ARMREST DOOR CLOSURE DEVICES SHALL BE PROVIDED ON ALL DOORS.

BODY MOLDING: IF BODY SIDE MOLDINGS ARE PART OF THE STANDARD POLICE PACKAGE EQUIPMENT, THE FRONT DOOR MOLDINGS ARE TO BE DELETED FROM INSTALLATION AND FRONT DOOR MOLDINGS ARE TO BE SHIPPED WITH THE VEHICLE (IN THE TRUNK).

RADIO: STEREO RADIO WITH CHANGER CONTROLS, FOUR SPEAKERS AND CLOCK.

ITEM 2 CONTINUED

OPTIONS:

	VENDOR PRICE
A) SIDE AIR BAGS – FRONT AND REAR	(STANDARD) STANDARD
B) AM/FM RADIO WITH CD PLAYER	(ADD) \$185.00
C) TWO TONE SPECIAL PAINT, #1, #2, #3 OR #4(MAY EFFECT DELIVERY TIME)	(ADD) \$885.00
D) STANDARD FACTORY SINGLE TONE PAINT	(DEDUCT) \$50.00
E) BALISTIC DOOR PANEL – DRIVER & PASSENGER	(ADD) \$2,485.00
F) BALISTIC DOOR PANEL – DRIVER ONLY	(ADD) \$1,295.00
G) HEAVY DUTY CARPET FLOOR COVERING	(ADD) \$125.00
H) SPOTLIGHTS – PASSENGER AND DRIVERS SIDE	(ADD) \$175.00
I) DECKLID RELEASE (ON DOOR AND INSTRUMENT PANEL)	(ADD) \$ 60.00
J) HEAVY DUTY CLOTH REAR BENCH SEAT	(ADD) \$65.00
K) POLICE ANTI-THEFT SHIFT-LOCK DEVICE INSTALLED	(ADD) \$295.00
L) POLICE ANTI-THEFT SHIFT-LOCK DEVICE IN TRUNK	(ADD) \$225.00
M) FRONT DOME LIGHT	(DELETE) NO CHARGE
N) 17 INCH BOLT ON WHEEL COVERS	(ADD) \$45.00
O) STREET APPEARANCE PACKAGE – (CALL FOR COLOR AVAILABILITY)	(DEDUCT) \$325.00
P) FRONT BODYSIDE MOLDING INSTALLED	(ADD) \$30.00
Q) ENGINE BLOCK HEATER	(ADD) \$35.00
R) CLOTH SPLIT BENCH WITH CLOTH REAR	(ADD) \$760.00
S) FLEET KEYED ALIKE	(ADD) \$50.00
T) SPOTLIGHT	(DEDUCT) \$60.00
U) HEATED SIDE MIRRORS	(ADD) \$35.00

V) TRUNK PACKAGE WITH KEVLAR LINER	(ADD)	\$190.00
W) 3.55 AXEL WITH LIMITED SLIP	(ADD)	\$195.00
X) WINDOW TINT 20% - SIDE AND REAR	(ADD)	\$235.00
Y) POLICE POWER PIGTAIL HARNESS	(ADD)	\$35.00
Z) REAR DOOR HANDLES / LOCKS INOPERABLE	(ADD)	\$35.00
AA) DISCONNECT REAR WINDOWS POWER	(ADD)	\$35.00
BB) ROOF WIRING - HOLE IN CENTER OF ROOF	(ADD)	\$195.00
CC) ROOF WIRING - NO HOLE IN ROOF	(ADD)	\$85.00
DD) BASE POLICE PREP PACKAGE	(ADD)	\$770.00
EE) BASE LIGHTING PACKAGE	(ADD)	\$1,955.00
FF) READY FOR THE ROAD PACKAGE	(ADD)	\$4,120.00
GG) COMPLETE POLICE PREP PACKAGE	(ADD)	\$2,075.00
HH) PATROL POWER WIRING HARNESS -	IN TRUNK (ADD)	\$679.00
	INSTALLED (ADD)	\$784.00
II) CHROME GRILLE	(ADD)	\$45.00
JJ) REMOTE KEYLESS ENTRY	(ADD)	\$255.00
KK) TWO-TONE SPECIAL PAINT - 5 UNIT, MINIMUM ALL ALIKE-DIFFERENT THAN OPTION C	(ADD)	\$907.00
LL) FORD ESP POWERTRAIN 5 YEAR 100,000 ODED.	(ADD)	\$2,115.00
MM) FORD ESP PREMIUM CARE 5 YEAR 75,000 BUMPER TO BUMPER ODED	(ADD)	\$2,880.00



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
PURCHASING DIVISION

Awarded Vendor 2 Vendors
Telephone No.

**Price Agreement
Amendment**

Price Agreement Number: 90-090-00-00012

Price Agreement Amendment No.: A004

Term: October 24, 2009 - October 23, 2010

Ship To: All State of New Mexico Agencies, Commissions, Institutions, Political sub-Divisions and local public bodies allowed by law.
Invoice: As requested by user

Procurement Specialist: Sandra Lujan

Telephone No.: (505) 827-0242

Commodity: Automotive Vehicles, Police Pursuit

This Price Agreement Amendment is to be attached to the respective Price Agreement and become a part thereof.

This Amendment is issued to reflect the following effective immediately.

Extend Price Agreement for the following vendors for 1-additional year under the same price, terms and conditions. Term: October 24, 2010 thru October 23, 2011.

(AA) 0000049313

Reliable Chevrolet

(AB) 0000046112

Rich Ford Sales

Except as modified by this Amendment, the provisions of the Price Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

Accepted for the State of New Mexico

Sandra Lujan
New Mexico State Purchasing Agent

Date: 09/30/10

sl

ITEM	QTY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	VENDOR/PRICE
4	50	EACH	VEHICLE, FOUR DOOR SPORT UTILITY, POLICE PURSUIT, 2 WHEEL DRIVE	
			(AA) BASE COST, PER UNIT, FOB DEALER'S PLACE OF BUSINESS	(AA) \$24,318.00
			(AA) ADDED COST, PER UNIT, FOB, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	(AA) \$24,318.00

(AA) MODEL: TAHOE
 MAKE: CHEVROLET
 ENGINE: 5.3-LITER V-8 E-85
 MPG: 14 CITY 20 HWY

VENDOR TO INDICATE FINAL ORDER ACCEPTANCE DATE
 APPROX APRIL 2009 TENTATIVE

FINAL ORDER ACCEPTANCE DATE MAY BE A CONSIDERATION IN DETERMINING AWARD

MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS:

WHEEL BASE: NOT LESS THAN ONE HUNDRED SIXTEEN (116.0) INCHES.

ALTERNATOR: MINIMUM 160 AMP

BATTERY: 12 VOLT, HEAVY DUTY, 730 COLD CRANKING AMP MINIMUM.

CONSTRUCTION: BODY ON FRAME.

BRAKES: FOUR WHEEL DISC BRAKES. FRONT BRAKES AND REAR WHEELS MUST BE DISC TYPE. HEAVY DUTY POLICE, BRAKES MUST BE ANTI-LOCK (ABS). WARNING LIGHT IN DASH SHALL INDICATE WHEN PARKING BRAKE IS ENGAGED.

ENGINE: ENGINE OFFERED SHALL BE A V-8 5.3L DESIGN. ENGINE MUST BE FLEX FUEL OR E-85.

DIFFERENTIAL LOCKING: HEAVY DUTY

WHEELS: A: TO BE SEVENTEEN (17) INCHES IN DIAMETER.
 B: STEEL WHEELS WITH BOLT-ON CENTER CAPS.
 C: HUB COVER SHALL BE DESIGNED TO REMAIN TIGHTLY ATTACHED TO THE WHEEL DURING HIGH SPEED POLICE PURSUIT SERVICE.

AIR CONDITIONING: VEHICLE SHALL BE FACTORY EQUIPPED WITH A FRONT AND REAR AIR

RUNNING BOARDS: RUNNING SIDE BOARDS MUST BE PART OF THE STANDARD POLICE PACKAGE EQUIPMENT.

RADIO: AM/FM, CD

ITEM 4 CONTINUED

OPTIONS:

VENDOR PRICE

A) FLASHING SYSTEM, HEADLAMP AND TAIL LAMP	(ADD)	\$480.00
B) TWO TONE FACTORY PAINT	(ADD)	NA
C) SINGLE TONE FACTORY PAINT	(DEDUCT)	\$225.00
D) SPOTLIGHT - "A" PILLAR	(DEDUCT)	\$200.00
E) SPOTLIGHT - EACH SIDE	(ADD)	\$360.00
F) WIRING FOR GRILLE LAMPS AND SPEAKER	(ADD)	\$102.00
G) HEAVY DUTY RUBBER MAT (FRONT/REAR)	(ADD)	INCLUDED
H) WIRING FOR AUXILIARY SPEAKERS FROM CONNECTION TO FRONT DOOR SPEAKERS	(ADD)	\$55.00
I) POLICE ANTI-THEFT DEVICE (TREMCO PRODUCT OR EQUIVALENT - INSTALLED	(ADD)	\$135.00
J) POLICE ANTI-THEFT DEVICE (TREMCO PRODUCT OR EQUIVALENT - IN TRUNK	(ADD)	\$95.00
K) PATROL POWER WIRING HARNESS - LOOSE OR INSTALLED	LOOSE (ADD)	\$675.00
	INSTALLED (ADD)	\$760.00
M) FRONT DOME- IF DELETED	(DEDUCT)	\$49.00
N) CARPET FLOORING	(ADD)	\$495.00
O) WINDOW TINT 20% - SIDE AND REAR	(ADD)	\$125.00
P) 4 X 4 SPECIAL SERVICE PACKAGE	(ADD)	\$3,795.00



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
PURCHASING DIVISION

GSD/PD 003-D2 (Rev. 01/09)

Awarded Vendor
5 Vendors

Telephone No. _____

**Price Agreement
Amendment**

Price Agreement Number: 00-000-00-00033

Price Agreement Amendment No.: One

Term: February 1, 2010 thru January 31, 2011

Ship To:
All State of New Mexico Agencies, Commissions,
Institutions, Political Sub-Divisions and Local Public
Bodies allowed by Law.

Invoice:
As requested

Procurement Specialist: Sandra Lujan

Telephone No.: (505) 827-0242

Commodity: Automotive Vehicles Trucks and Utility

This Price Agreement Amendment is to be attached to the respective Price Agreement and become a part thereof.

In accordance with Contract provisions, and by mutual agreement of all parties, this Price Agreement is extended from February 1, 2011 to May 31, 2011 at the same price, terms and conditions.

Except as modified by this amendment, the provisions of the Price Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

Accepted for the State of New Mexico

Sandra Lujan
New Mexico State Purchasing Agent

Date: 01/19/11

Purchasing Division, 1100 St. Francis Drive 87505, PO Box 6850, Santa Fe, NM 87502-6850 (505) 827-0472

sl



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
 GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
 PURCHASING DIVISION
 PRICE AGREEMENT #: 00-000-00-00033

ITEM * APPROX* UNIT *	ARTICLE AND DESCRIPTION	* UNIT PRICE
* QTY *		

Item 18 continued

Keys: Three (3) complete sets of keys

Mirrors: Outside-right/left below eye level. Inside-non-glare day/night

Radio: AM/FM CD, factory installed

Seats: Front-dual reclining split bench cloth. Rear-manufacturer's standard

Steering: Power, tilt wheel/cruise control

Tires: Factory standard on-off road radials (all season not acceptable). Full-size spare tire/wheel included

Wheel base: 133 inches

Windshield wipers: Two-speed intermittent with washer

Options:

		Vendor/price		
		(AB) Melloy Dodge	(AC) Reliable Chevrolet	(AE) Rich Ford Sales
A) Two wheel drive in lieu of four wheel drive (all season tires)	(deduct)	\$400.00	\$2,100.00	\$1,395.00
B) Engine: Other	(AC) 4.8 Liter (deduct)	NA	\$276.00	NB
	(AC) 4.3 Liter (deduct)		\$350.00	
D) Short wheel base	(deduct)	\$200.00	\$195.00	\$162.00
E) Trailer towing package and class three receiver hitch less ball mount	(add)	\$775.00	\$595.00	\$350.00
F) Air conditioner	(AC) Delete (deduct)	NA	NA	
G) Color other than factory standard production (5 unit minimum) (AE) Solid Color	(add)	\$1,500.00	\$475.00	\$735.00
H) Cruise control and tilt wheel	(AE) Cruise Control Only (deduct)	\$200.00	\$150.00	\$110.00
I) Limited slip rear differential	(deduct)	\$200.00	\$175.00	\$140.00
J) Power door locks/power windows	(deduct)	\$200.00	\$920.00	\$+795.00
K) AM/FM CD radio	(delete)	STD	\$100.00	\$132.00
L) Six-inch spotlight (each)	(add)	\$375.00	\$360.00	\$360.00
M) 22 inch mini light bar led amber light bar with 10 leds: code 3 or federal signal	(AC) 6 LEDs (AE) Mini (add)	\$2,350.00	\$1,330.00	\$1,380.00
	(AE) Full width 10 module LED bar (add)			\$1,790.00

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
 GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT
 PURCHASING DIVISION
 PRICE AGREEMENT #: 00-000-00-00033

ITEM * APPROX* UNIT *	ARTICLE AND DESCRIPTION	* UNIT PRICE			
* QTY *					
Item 18 continued					
N) 22 inch mini light bar led amber light bar with 16 leds: code 3 or federal signal	(AE) Roof width with signal master	(add)	\$2,350.00	\$2,690.00	\$2,690.00
O) Vinyl seats		(deduct)	\$150.00	NC	NC
P) Steel cross tool box (dual lid) weather guard or rawson-koenig		(add)	\$778.00	\$609.00	\$609.00
Q) Steel cross saddle tool box (single lid) weather guard or rawson-koenig		(add)	\$778.00	\$609.00	\$609.00
R) Heavy-duty louvered headache rack (white) rawson-koenig		(add)	\$575.00	\$400.00	\$400.00
S) In-bed spare tire mount with #3 master pad lock (agency will specify install location)		(add)	\$375.00	\$205.00	\$205.00
T) Six ply on/off road tires	(AC) 4x4 only	(add)	NA	\$200.00	NB
U) 8,000 lb. Capacity worm gear driven electric winch, 5/16" cable, hook and guide for 4x4 only		(add)	\$2,950.00	\$2,644.00	\$2,644.00
V) Mileage charge for one way delivery of unit to area (s) other than Santa Fe, N.M		(add)	\$299.00	\$1.50	\$1.75
W) Warranty, factory, five (5) years - 100,000 miles for motor and drive train, zero deductible		(add)	STD	STD	\$1,210.00
X) Warranty, factory five (5) years - 75,000 miles bumper to bumper zero deductible		(add)	\$1,830.00	\$1,635.00	\$1,690.00
Y) Tires: 265, mud & snow 4x4, with speedometer calibration		(add)	NA	STD	NB
Z) In bed chest-type single lid, tool box, steel, weather guard or rawson-koenig		(add)	\$778.00	\$491.00	\$541.00
AA) State police paint configuration	(AE) Local install	(add)	\$1,500.00	\$400.00	\$600.00
BB) Trailer brake controller	(AE) Must order option E	(add)	\$375.00	\$342.00	\$342.00
CC) Trailer mirrors		(add)	\$640.00	\$243.00	\$235.00
DD) Spray on bed liner (black only)		(add)	\$775.00	\$550.00	\$375.00
EE) Drop in bed liner (black only)		(add)	\$460.00	\$375.00	\$275.00